

**Midterm exam: solutions**

**Exercise 1. Quiz. (24 points)** Answer each yes/no or open question below (1 pt) and provide a short justification (proof or counter-example) for your answer (2 pts).

**a)** Let the function  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $g(x) = 1_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ . That is,  $g(x)$  outputs one if  $x$  is a rational number, and zero otherwise. Is  $g(x)$  Borel-measurable?

**Solution:** Yes,  $g(x)$  Borel-measurable since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a Borel-measurable set. Using the definition in lecture we can check that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: g(x) \in B\} \in \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^c, \mathbb{R}\}$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . For example,  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: g(x) \in B\} = \mathbb{Q}$  if  $1 \in B$  and  $0 \notin B$ .

**b)** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space with  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, 6\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 3, 5\}\})$ . Given that  $\mathbb{P}(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{1, 3, 5\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{2, 5\}) = \frac{1}{2}$ , what is  $\mathbb{P}(\{4, 6\})$ ?

**Solution:** We know that  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by the following atoms  $\{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}, \{5\}, \{4, 6\}\}$ . Using the additivity property of probability measures we can set up and solve a system of equations to find that every atom occurs with probability  $\frac{1}{4}$ , and specifically  $\mathbb{P}(\{4, 6\}) = \frac{1}{4}$ .

**c)** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space. Suppose  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{G} = \{A \cap B: A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $B$ . In other words,  $(B, \mathcal{G})$  is also a measurable space.

**Solution:** To show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $B$  we need to check the three axioms for the  $\sigma$ -field.

(i)  $\emptyset, B \in \mathcal{G}$  since  $B \cap B = B$  and  $B \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ .

(ii)  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  means that  $G = A \cap B$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . But then  $G^c \in \mathcal{G}$  since  $G^c = A^c \cap B$ . Observe that here  $G^c$  is taken with respect to  $B$ .

(iii) If  $G_n, n \geq 1$  is a sequence of subsets in  $B$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \in \mathcal{G}$ . Indeed, for some sequence  $A_n, n \geq 1$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  we have

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap B) = \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \cap B \in \mathcal{G}.$$

**d)** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two random variables defined on the same probability space. Given  $\mathbb{P}(X > Y) > 1/2$ , is it possible that  $\mathbb{E}(X) < \mathbb{E}(Y)$ ?

**Solution:** Yes. For an example, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space with  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ , and  $\mathbb{P}$  the Lebesgue measure. Then, let  $X = 0.1$  and  $Y = 1_{\{\omega \geq 0.6\}}$ . In this case,  $\mathbb{P}(X > Y) = 0.6$  while  $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0.1 < 0.4 = \mathbb{E}(Y)$ .

**e)** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Bernoulli random variables defined on the same probability space. If  $X$

and  $Y$  are uncorrelated, are they also independent?

**Solution:** Yes. We know that  $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0 \times \mathbb{P}(X = 0) + 1 \times \mathbb{P}(X = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X = 1)$  and similarly,  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1)$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbb{E}(XY) = 0 \times \mathbb{P}(X = 0 \cup Y = 0) + 1 \times \mathbb{P}(X = 1, Y = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X = 1, Y = 1)$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are uncorrelated,  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1, Y = 1) = \mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{P}(X = 1)\mathbb{P}(Y = 1)$ . We also know that if two events  $\{X = 1\}, \{Y = 1\}$  are independent, their complements  $\{X = 0\}, \{Y = 0\}$  are also independent, i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0, Y = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X = 0)\mathbb{P}(Y = 0)$ . Thus,  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent.

f) A continuous random variable  $X$  has CDF  $\mathbb{F}_X$ . What is the CDF of  $Y = aX + b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real constants?

**Solution:** There are three cases to consider:

If  $a > 0$  then

$$\mathbb{F}_Y(t) = \mathbb{P}(\{Y \leq t\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{aX + b \leq t\}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X \leq \frac{1}{a}(t - b)\right\}\right) = \mathbb{F}_X\left(\frac{1}{a}(t - b)\right).$$

If  $a < 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F}_Y(t) &= \mathbb{P}(\{Y \leq t\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{aX + b \leq t\}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X \geq \frac{1}{a}(t - b)\right\}\right) = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X < \frac{1}{a}(t - b)\right\}\right) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{F}_X\left(\frac{1}{a}(t - b)\right).\end{aligned}$$

If  $a = 0$  then

$$\mathbb{F}_Y(t) = \mathbb{P}(\{Y \leq t\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{b \leq t\}) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < b \\ 1, & t \geq b \end{cases}$$

g) We flip a fair coin  $n$  times. Let  $A_{ij}$  be the event that  $i$ th and  $j$ th flips are the same. Show that the events  $\{A_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  are pairwise independent but not independent.

**Solution:** First, consider the pair of events  $A_{ij}$  and  $A_{lm}$  where  $i, j, l, m$  are all distinct. In this case we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{ij} \cap A_{lm}) = \frac{1}{4} = \mathbb{P}(A_{ij})\mathbb{P}(A_{lm})$$

Secondly, consider the pair of events  $A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ik}$  with  $i \neq k$ . In this case

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{ij} \cap A_{ik}) = \frac{1}{4} = \mathbb{P}(A_{ij})\mathbb{P}(A_{ik})$$

Indeed, probability of  $j$ th and  $k$ th flips are independent. So, the probability of each being equal to  $i$ th flip is just  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, this collection of events is pairwise independent.

The collection of events is not independent since

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{ij} \cap A_{ik} \cap A_{jk}) = \mathbb{P}(A_{ij} \cap A_{ik}) \neq \mathbb{P}(A_{ij})\mathbb{P}(A_{ik})\mathbb{P}(A_{jk}).$$

**h)** Let  $U$  be a random variable uniformly distributed on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $F$  be any CDF, and define  $\phi(u) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) \geq u\}$  for  $0 < u < 1$ . What is the CDF of the random variable  $\phi(U)$ ?

**Solution:** Since  $F$  is non-decreasing, if  $F(z) \leq u$ , then  $\phi(u) \geq z$ . On the other hand, since  $F$  is right-continuous, we have that  $\inf\{x; F(x) \geq u\} = \min\{x; F(x) \geq u\}$ ; that is, the infimum is actually obtained. It follows that if  $F(z) \geq u$ , then  $\phi(u) \leq z$ . Hence,  $\phi(u) \leq z$  if and only if  $u \leq F(z)$ . Since  $0 \leq F(z) \leq 1$ , we obtain that  $\mathbf{P}(\phi(U) \leq z) = \mathbf{P}(U \leq F(z)) = F(z)$ .

**Exercise 2. (14 points)** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space with  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ , and  $\mathbb{P}$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ .

a) Let the collection  $\{I_1, \dots, I_4\}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  such that  $I_i = [\frac{i-1}{4}, \frac{i}{4})$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $I_4 = [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ . Similarly, let  $\{J_1, \dots, J_6\}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  such that  $J_i = [\frac{i-1}{6}, \frac{i}{6})$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$  and  $J_6 = [\frac{5}{6}, 1]$ . Describe the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \sigma(\{I_1, \dots, I_4\})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \sigma(\{J_1, \dots, J_6\})$ . How many elements does  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  have?

**Solution:** Since  $\{I_1, \dots, I_4\}$  is a partition, these are also the atoms of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Thus, there are 4 atoms and  $2^4 = 16$  elements in  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Similarly, there are 6 atoms and  $2^6 = 64$  elements in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ .

b) Is it true that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ ? Let  $\mathcal{F}_3 = \sigma(\{I_1, \dots, I_4, J_1, \dots, J_6\})$ . Is it true that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_3$ ?

**Solution:** No,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \not\subset \mathcal{F}_2$ , since the atoms  $\{I_1, \dots, I_4\} \not\subset \mathcal{F}_2$ . Yes,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_3$ , since  $\{I_1, \dots, I_4\} \in \mathcal{F}_2$  as well as their countable unions.

Define the random variables  $X = 1_{\{\omega \in I_1 \cup J_2\}}$ ,  $Y = \omega 1_{\{\omega \in J_1 \cup I_2\}}$ .

c) Is  $X$   $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable? Is it  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable and  $\mathcal{F}_3$ -measurable? What about  $Y$ ?

**Solution:** We can write  $X = 1_{\{\omega \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]\}}$ . Knowing that  $[0, \frac{1}{3}) \notin \mathcal{F}_1$ , and  $[0, \frac{1}{3}) \in \mathcal{F}_2 \cap \mathcal{F}_3$ , we conclude that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable and  $\mathcal{F}_3$ -measurable but not  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable. Similarly, we can write  $Y = \omega 1_{\{[0, \frac{1}{6}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})\}}$ . Since  $Y$  takes a unique value for each  $\omega \in \{[0, \frac{1}{6}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})\}$ , it is not  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2$  or  $\mathcal{F}_3$  measurable.

d) Calculate the expectations  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  and  $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ .

**Solution:**

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left(1_{\{\omega \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]\}}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]\right) = \frac{1}{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Y) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\omega 1_{\{[0, \frac{1}{6}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})\}}\right) = \int_0^1 \omega \times 1_{\{[0, \frac{1}{6}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})\}} d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{6}} \omega d\mathbb{P}(\omega) + \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \right) = \frac{31}{288}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the random variable  $Z = 1_{\{\omega \in J_2 \cup J_3 \cup J_4\}}$ .

e) What is the covariance of  $X$  and  $Z$ ? Are they independent?

**Solution:** We can write  $Z = 1_{\{\omega \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}]\}}$ . In addition, we can calculate  $\mathbb{E}(Z) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X, Z) &= \mathbb{E}(XZ) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Z) = \mathbb{E}(1_{\{\omega \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]\}} 1_{\{\omega \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}]\}}) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Z) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(1_{\{\omega \in [0, \frac{1}{3}] \cap [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}]\}}) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Z) = \mathbb{E}(1_{\{\omega \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}]\}}) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Z) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  and  $Z$  are uncorrelated Bernoulli random variables, we know from Exercise 1.e) that they are independent.

**Exercise 3. (12 points)** Consider an infinite sequence of coin flips, where the sample space is the set of all infinite binary sequences,  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n$  be the event that the first  $n$  coin flips are all heads. That is,

$$A_n = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega : \omega_1 = \dots = \omega_n = 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ .

a) Let  $B_n$  be the event that the first tail appears on the  $n$ -th flip, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is,

$$B_n = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega : \omega_1 = \dots = \omega_{n-1} = 1, \omega_n = 0\}.$$

Is  $B_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ?

**Solution:**  $B_n$  is the event that the first tail appears on the  $n$ -th flip, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can write  $B_n = A_{n-1} \setminus A_n$  (with  $A_0 = \Omega$ ), which shows  $B_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

b) What are the atoms of  $\mathcal{F}$ ?

**Solution:** The collection  $\mathcal{P} = \{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{A_\infty\}$  forms a countable partition of  $\Omega$ . Any generator  $A_n$  can be written as a union of elements from this partition:  $A_n = (\bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_k) \cup A_\infty$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{A_n\}) = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ . The atoms of  $\mathcal{F}$  are therefore the elements of this partition:

- The singleton event  $A_\infty = \{(1, 1, 1, \dots)\}$ .
- For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the event  $B_n = \{\omega : \omega_1 = \dots = \omega_{n-1} = 1, \omega_n = 0\}$ .

c) Does  $\mathcal{F}$  contain an event  $C_2$ , that the second flip is tails (i.e.,  $\omega_2 = 0$ ) ?

**Solution:** No,  $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain the event  $C_2$ . An event in  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{B_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{A_\infty\})$  must be a countable union of the atoms  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{A_\infty\}$ . This means that for any atom, such as  $B_1$ , an event  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  must either contain all of  $B_1$  or be disjoint from it.

Let's examine  $C_2$  in relation to the atom  $B_1 = \{\omega : \omega_1 = 0\}$ .

- The outcome  $\omega_a = (0, 0, 1, 1, \dots)$  is in  $B_1$  and is also in  $C_2$ .
- The outcome  $\omega_b = (0, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$  is in  $B_1$  but is not in  $C_2$ .

Since  $C_2$  contains some outcomes from the atom  $B_1$  but not all of them,  $C_2$  "splits" an atom of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $C_2$  cannot be formed by a union of the atoms of  $\mathcal{F}$  and thus  $C_2 \notin \mathcal{F}$ .

d) Let  $G_{ij}$  be the event that the first flip is  $i$  and the second flip is  $j$ . That is,  $G_{00} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_1 = 0, \omega_2 = 0\}$ ,  $G_{01} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_1 = 0, \omega_2 = 1\}$ , and so on. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(\{G_{00}, G_{01}, G_{10}, G_{11}\})$ . Describe the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . That is, which sets is it generated by? How many elements does it have?

*Hint: Recall that an intersection of two  $\sigma$ -fields is a  $\sigma$ -field.*

**Solution:** The  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$  is given by  $\sigma(\{G_{00} \cup G_{01}, G_{10}, G_{11}\})$ . It has eight elements which are all possible unions of these three sets. To see why this is true, consider the following correspondence between the atoms of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ :

- $B_1 = G_{00} \cup G_{01}$
- $B_2 = G_{10}$
- $A_\infty \cup B_3 \cup B_4 \cdots = G_{11}$

Thus, we see that the set  $G_{10}$  is in both  $\sigma$ -fields. The sets  $G_{00}$  and  $G_{01}$  are not in  $\mathcal{F}$ , but their union is. Likewise, the sets  $A_\infty$ ,  $B_3$ ,  $B_4$ , ... are not in  $\mathcal{G}$ , but their countable union is.