

1. Short problems

1. [Several correct answers possible.] Let $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\hat{y}_i(w) = 1 / (1 + e^{-wx_i})$. Define

$$f : w \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto - \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \log(\hat{y}_i(w)) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \hat{y}_i(w))] + \lambda |w|,$$

where $\lambda > 0$. The function f is:

- (a) convex.
- (b) differentiable everywhere.
- (c) subdifferentiable everywhere.
- (d) Lipschitzian.

2. Consider the function

$$f(x) = x^2 + 2.5 \cos x + |x|,$$

defined on the real line $\mathbb{R}$. Which of the following statements is correct and why/why not? The function f is:

- (a) convex
- (b) differentiable everywhere
- (c) subdifferentiable everywhere

3. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable Lipschitz function with constant ρ . Define $h_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, with $h_\alpha(x) = g(\|x\|^\alpha)$ where $\alpha > 0$. For which values of $\alpha > 0$ can we conclude that h_α a Lipschitz function without further information on g ? Give a Lipschitz constant when this is the case.
4. Let $f(x) = a|x|^3 + b|x| + c$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Is this function convex? If yes what are the subgradient sets $\partial f(x)$?
5. Let $G(z) = \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ and the convolution $f_G(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz G(z - x)f(z)$. Consider the standard Gaussian random variable $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Consider the random map $x \mapsto Zf(x + Z)$. Which is true?

- (a) This random map is a stochastic gradient of f_G .

- (b) This random map cannot be a stochastic gradient since it does not contain any derivative.

2. Gradient Descent for Positive Semi-definite Matrices

Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be $n \times n$ real matrices and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be $n \times n$ real symmetric and positive definite matrices. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ the function $F(X) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} X^T B X$.

1. Show that $F(X) \geq 0$ for any X .
2. Compute the second derivative of

$$f(s) = \text{Tr}(sX^T + (1-s)Y^T)B(sX + (1-s)Y)$$

for $s \in [0, 1]$ and deduce that F is a convex function.

3. Deduce the inequality $F(Y) - F(X) \geq \text{Tr} X^T B(Y - X)$. Is F Lipschitz ?
4. Consider now the function $G : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ with $G(X) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(X - I)^T A(X - I)$ where I is the identity matrix. Define $L(X) = F(X) + G(X)$.
 - (a) Write down the gradient descent algorithm for L . Call X_t the updated matrix at time t .
 - (b) Assume that the operator norm $\|X_t\| \leq M$ stays bounded uniformly in n . Show that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_t - (B + A)^{-1} A \right\| \leq \frac{2M}{\eta T} \|(B + A)^{-1}\|$$

3. Variants of standard gradient descent; forward and backward schemes

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex Lipschitz continuous differentiable function with Lipschitz constant $\rho > 0$. Let S be a real symmetric strictly positive-definite $d \times d$ matrix with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min} > 0$. We consider a gradient descent iteration for $t \geq 1$ and step size $\eta > 0$:

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - \eta S^{-1} \nabla f(x^t) \tag{1}$$

with initial condition $x^1 = 0$. Further, define $x^* = \text{argmin}_{\|x\| \in B(0, R)} f(x)$, where $B(0, R)$ is the ball of radius R .

1. The update equation (1) is in the form of an Euler *forward* scheme. Write down the associated *backward* Euler scheme.
2. Consider the following iterations (assume the argmin exists and is unique)

$$x^{t+1} = \text{argmin}_x \left\{ f(x) + \frac{1}{2\eta} (x - x^t)^T S (x - x^t) \right\}$$

Is the quantity in the bracket simply convex or strictly convex ? Show that this iteration is equivalent to one of the two Euler schemes.

3. Show that if we choose the step size $\eta = \frac{R\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}\lambda_{\min}}}{\rho\sqrt{T}}$ after T iterations we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T x^t\right) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{\rho R}{\sqrt{T}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}}$$

Hint: recall that in class we proved this statement when $S = I$ the identity matrix. Here you can use an eigenvalue decomposition $S^{-1} = U^T \Lambda^{-1} U$. The following is also useful:

$$\langle \underline{\nabla} f(x^t), x^t - x^* \rangle = \langle U \nabla f(x^t), U x^t - U x^* \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^d (U \nabla f)_k(x^t) (U x^t - U x^*)_k$$

Justify why these steps can be used.