

Differential Geometry II - Smooth Manifolds Winter Term 2024/2025 Lecturer: Dr. N. Tsakanikas Assistant: L. E. Rösler

Exercise Sheet 8 – Solutions

Exercise 1:

- (a) Sufficient conditions for properness: Let X and Y be topological spaces and let $F: X \to Y$ be a continuous map. Prove the following assertions:
	- (i) If X is compact and Y is Hausdorff, then F is proper.
	- (ii) If F is a topological embedding with closed image, then F is proper.
	- (iii) If Y is Hausdorff and F has a continuous *left inverse*, i.e., a continuous map $G: Y \to X$ such that $G \circ F = \text{Id}_X$, then F is proper.
- (b) Let M be a smooth manifold and let S be an embedded submanifold of M. Show that S is properly embedded if and only if S is a closed subset of M .
- (c) Slice of the product manifold: If M and N are smooth manifolds, then for each $q \in N$ the subset $M \times \{q\}$, called a *slice of the product manifold*, is an embedded submanifold of $M \times N$ diffeomorphic to M.
- (d) Global graphs are properly embedded: Let $f: M \to N$ be a smooth map between smooth manifolds. Show that the graph $\Gamma(f)$ of f is a properly embedded submanifold of $M \times N$.

Solution:

- (a) We deal with the three cases below separately:
	- (i) Let K be a compact subset of Y. Since Y is Hausdorff, K is a closed subset of Y. Since F is continuous, $F^{-1}(K)$ is a closed subset of X, and now since X is compact, $F^{-1}(K)$ is also compact, as desired. Therefore, F is a proper map.
	- (ii) Let K be a compact subset of Y. By assumption, $F(X)$ is a closed subset of X, so $F(X) \cap K$ is a closed subset of K, and thus compact. Since F^{-1} : $F(X) \to X$ is continuous and bijective by assumption and since $F(X) \cap K \subseteq F(X)$, the image $F^{-1}(F(X) \cap K) = F^{-1}(K)$ is a compact subset of X, as desired.

(iii) Let K be a compact subset of Y. On the one hand, since G is continuous, $G(K)$ is a compact subset of X . On the other hand, since Y is Hausdorff, K is a closed subset of Y, and since F is continuous, $F^{-1}(K)$ is a closed subset of X. Now, we claim that $F^{-1}(K) \subseteq G(K)$, which implies that $F^{-1}(K)$ is compact, as desired. Indeed, given $s \in F^{-1}(K)$, we have $F(s) = t \in K$, so

$$
s = Id_X(s) = (G \circ F)(s) = G(t) \in G(K),
$$

which proves the claim, and completes thus the proof of (iii).

(b) Assume first that S is a properly embedded submanifold of M . Then the inclusion map $\iota: S \hookrightarrow M$ is proper by definition, and hence closed by *Claim 3* in the proof of Proposition 4.6. Since ι is clearly a topological embedding, we deduce that S is a closed subset of M.

Assume now that S is a closed subset of M. Since then the inclusion map $\iota: S \hookrightarrow M$ is a topological embedding with closed image $\iota(S) = S$, it follows from (a)(ii) that ι is proper, and thus S is a properly embedded submanifold of M.

(c) The assertion follows immediately from *Example 5.4* by considering the constant function

$$
f: M \to N, x \mapsto q \in N
$$
,

which is smooth by part (b) of [*Exercise Sheet 3, Exercise 3*].

(d) By Example 5.4 we know that the map

 $\gamma_f \colon M \to M \times N, \ x \mapsto (x, f(x))$

is a smooth embedding with image $\Gamma(f)$ and that the projection

$$
\pi_M\colon M\times N\to M,\ (x,y)\mapsto x
$$

is a smooth left inverse for γ_f , i.e., $\pi_M \circ \gamma_f = \text{Id}_M$. It follows from (a)(iii) that γ_f is proper, hence closed by *Claim 3* in the proof of *Proposition 4.6*. Therefore, $\Gamma(f)$ is a closed subset of $M \times N$, so *Example 5.4* together with (b) imply that $\Gamma(f)$ is a properly embedded submanifold of $M \times N$.

Exercise 2: Fix $n \geq 0$. Using

- (i) the local slice criterion, and
- (ii) the regular level set theorem,

show that \mathbb{S}^n is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Solution: We first show that the unit *n*-sphere \mathbb{S}^n is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} using the local slice criterion. To this end, recall that \mathbb{S}^n is locally the graph of a smooth function; indeed, by *Example 1.3(2)* we already know that each point of \mathbb{S}^n belongs to one of the sets $U_i^{\pm} \cap \mathbb{S}^n$ and that $U_i^{\pm} \cap \mathbb{S}^n$ is the graph of

$$
x^i = f(x^1, \dots, \widehat{x^i}, \dots, x^{n+1})
$$

and $U_i^- \cap \mathbb{S}^n$ is the graph of

$$
x^{i} = -f(x^{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x^{i}}, \ldots, x^{n+1}),
$$

where f is the smooth function

$$
f: \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{R}, u \mapsto \sqrt{1 - ||u||^2}.
$$

It follows now from *Example* 5.4 and *Theorem* 5.6 that \mathbb{S}^n satisfies the local *n*-slice condition, and hence it is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} again by *Theorem 5.6*.

We now show that \mathbb{S}^n is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} using the regular level set theorem. To this end, consider the smooth function

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}, x = (x^1, \dots, x^{n+1}) \mapsto ||x||^2 - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (x^i)^2 - 1
$$

and note that

$$
\mathbb{S}^n = f^{-1}(0).
$$

The gradient of f is given at an arbitrary point $x = (x^1, \dots, x^{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$
grad(f)(x1,...,xn+1) = (2x1,...,2xn+1).
$$

Since grad(f) vanishes only at the point $0 = (0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which clearly does not belong to \mathbb{S}^n , it follows from *Corollary 5.10* that $\mathbb{S}^n = f^{-1}(0)$ is a properly embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Remark.

- (1) It follows from *Exercise* 1(b) and *Exercise* 2 (or [*Exercise Sheet* 6, *Exercise* 3(a)]) that \mathbb{S}^n is a properly embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .
- (2) One can check that the coordinates for \mathbb{S}^n determined by the slice charts described in *Exercise* 2(i) are precisely the graph coordinates defined in *Example 1.3(2)*.

Exercise 3:

(a) Consider the smooth function

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, (x, y) \mapsto x^3 + xy + y^3.
$$

Show that if $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{27}\}\$, then the level set $f^{-1}(c)$ is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .

(b) Consider the smooth function

$$
\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \ (x, y) \mapsto x^2 - y^2.
$$

Given $c \in \mathbb{R}$, examine whether the level set $\Phi^{-1}(c)$ is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Solution:

(a) The gradient of f at an arbitrary point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

$$
\text{grad}(f)(x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y), \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y)\right) = \left(3x^2 + y, \ 3y^2 + x\right).
$$

It is now easy to check that

$$
\text{grad}(f)(x,y) = (0,0) \text{ if and only if } (x,y) \in \left\{ (0,0), \left(-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3} \right) \right\}.
$$

Since

$$
f(0,0) = 0
$$
 and $f\left(-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{27}$

and since the fibers of f are disjoint, we conclude that $(0, 0)$ belongs exclusively to the level set $f^{-1}(0)$ and that $\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)$ $\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$) belongs exclusively to the level set $f^{-1}(\frac{1}{27})$. Hence, if $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{27}\}\$, then the fiber $f^{-1}(c)$ is a regular level set, and thus a properly embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 by Corollary 5.10.

We have plotted in the left figure below the level sets $f^{-1}(0)$ (in green) and $f^{-1}(\frac{1}{27})$ (in purple), while in the right one the level sets $f^{-1}(-1)$ (in green), $f^{-1}(\frac{1}{3})$ $\frac{1}{3}$ (in orange) and $f^{-1}(2)$ (in grey).

(b) The gradient of Φ at an arbitrary point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

grad
$$
(\Phi)(x, y) = \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y), \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(x, y)\right) = (2x, -2y)
$$

and it is obvious that

$$
grad(\Phi)(x, y) = (0, 0)
$$
 if and only if $(x, y) = (0, 0)$.

As in (a), we conclude that if $c \neq 0$, then the level set $\Phi^{-1}(c)$ is a properly embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 according to *Corollary 5.10*. We have plotted below the level sets $\Phi^{-1}(1)$ (in green) and $\Phi^{-1}(-1)$ (in blue).

We now deal with the remaining case $c = 0$. Since $\text{grad}(\Phi)(0,0) = (0,0), c = 0$ is a critical value of Φ , so *Corollary 5.10* cannot be applied; we stress that it does not tell us that the level set $\Phi^{-1}(0)$ is not an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 either. To examine whether this is true or not, we proceed as follows.

We observe that the level set $\Phi^{-1}(0)$ (plotted above in red) is the union of the lines $y = x$ and $y = -x$ in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . By arguing as in [*Exercise Sheet* 1, *Exercise* 4] (for the point $(0,0) \in \Phi^{-1}(0)$, we infer that $\Phi^{-1}(0)$ is not a topological manifold (with the subspace topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^2 , and hence it cannot be an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Exercise 4: Let S be a subset of a smooth m-manifold M. Show that S is an embedded k-submanifold of M if and only if every point of S has a neighborhood U in M such that $U \cap S$ is a level set of a smooth submersion $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$.

[Hint: Use the local slice criterion.]

Solution: Assume that S is an embedded k-submanifold of M . Then S satisfies the local k-slice criterion by Theorem 5.6. Given $p \in S$, if (x^1, \ldots, x^m) are slice coordinates for S in an open neighborhood U of p in M, then there are constants $c^{k+1}, \ldots, c^m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (in coordinates we have)

$$
U \cap S = \{(x^1, \dots, x^m) \in U \mid x^{k+1} = c^{k+1}, \dots, x^m = c^m\}.
$$

Moreover, the map $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$ given in coordinates by

$$
\Phi(x^1,\ldots,x^m)=(x^{k+1},\ldots,x^m)
$$

is a smooth submersion, since its Jacobian is the $(m - k) \times m$ -matrix

of rank $m - k$, and clearly we have

$$
U \cap S = \Phi^{-1}(c^{k+1}, \dots, c^m).
$$

In conclusion, every point of S has a neighborhood U in M such that $U \cap S$ is a level set of a smooth submersion $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$.

Conversely, assume that every point of S has a neighborhood U in M such that $U \cap S$ is a level set of a smooth submersion $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$. By Corollary 5.10, $U \cap S$ is a properly embedded k-submanifold of U , so it satisfies the local k-slice criterion by *Theorem 5.6.* Therefore, S itself satisfies the local k-slice criterion, and hence it is an embedded *k*-submanifold of *M* by *Theorem 5.6*.

Exercise 5:

- (a) Restricting the domain of a smooth map: If $F: M \to N$ is a smooth map and if $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed or embedded submanifold, then the restriction $F|_S : S \to N$ is smooth.
- (b) Restricting the codomain of a smooth map: Let M be a smooth manifold, let $S \subseteq M$ be an immersed submanifold, and let $G: N \to M$ be a smooth map whose image is contained in S. If G is a continuous map from N to S, then $G: N \to S$ is smooth.
- (c) Let M be a smooth manifold and let $S \subseteq M$ be an embedded submanifold. Then every smooth map $G: N \to M$ whose image is contained in S is also smooth as a map from N to S .

Solution:

(a) The inclusion map $\iota: S \to M$ is smooth for both immersed and embedded submanifolds. Hence, the restriction $F|_S = F \circ \iota$ is smooth as well.

(b) Let $p \in M$ and set $q = G(p) \in M$. To prove the smoothness of the corestriction $G|S: N \to S$, we need to find charts of N and S containing p and q, respectively, such that the corresponding coordinate representation of $G|S$ is smooth. As immersed submanifolds are locally embedded by *Proposition 5.17*, there exists a neighborhood V of q in S such that $\iota_V: V \hookrightarrow M$ is a smooth embedding. Thus, there exists a smooth chart (W, ψ) of M containing q which is a slice chart for V (note that it could very well be that $W \cap V \subset W \cap S$, i.e., (W, ψ) might not be a slice chart for S). The fact that (W, ψ) is a slice chart means that (V_0, ψ) is a smooth chart for V, where $V_0 = V \cap W$ and $\psi = \pi \circ \psi$, with $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ the projection onto the first $k = \dim S$ coordinates. Since $V_0 = \iota_V^{-1}$ $V^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{I}}}(W)$ is open in V by continuity of ι_V , it is open in S in its given topology. Hence, (V_0, ψ) is also a smooth chart for S. Set $U := G^{-1}(V_0)$ and note that U is an open subset of N containing p (this is where we use the hypothesis that G is continuous into S). Choose a smooth chart (U_0, φ) for N such that $p \in U_0 \subseteq U$. Then the coordinate representation of the corestriction $G|S: N \to S$ with respect to the charts (U_0, φ) and (V_0, ψ) is

$$
\widetilde{\psi} \circ G |^S \circ \varphi^{-1} = \pi \circ \psi \circ G \circ \varphi^{-1},
$$

which is smooth, because $G: N \to M$ is smooth by assumption. This proves the assertion.

(c) According to (b) we only have to show that the corestriction of any smooth map $G: N \to M$ to S remains continuous. This is derived immediately from the following general topological fact: if $f: X \to Y$ is a continuous map between topological spaces X and Y, and if $B \subseteq Y$ and $A \subseteq X$ are arbitrary subsets endowed with the subspace topology, and such that $f(A) \subseteq B$, then $f|_A^B: A \to B$ is continuous.

Let us verify the above result for the sake of completeness. Let $V \subseteq B$ be an open subset of B. By definition of the subspace topology, there exists an open subset $V' \subseteq Y$ such that $V' \cap B = V$. Hence,

$$
(f|_{A}^{B})^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V') \cap A,
$$

which is open in A, since $f^{-1}(V')$ is open in X by continuity of f and since A is endowed with the subspace topology. Therefore, $f|_A^B$ is continuous.

Remark.

(1) Let $F: M \to N$ be a smooth map. *Exercise* 5(a) asserts that if the domain of F is restricted to a smooth submanifold S of M , then the restriction of F to S remains smooth. However, if the codomain of F is restricted, then the resulting map need not be smooth in general, as the following example shows, but Exercise 5(b) demonstrates that the failure of continuity is the only thing that can go wrong.

Consider the smooth map

$$
\beta \colon (-\pi, \pi) \to \mathbb{R}^2, \ t \mapsto (\sin 2t, \sin t),
$$

which is an injective smooth immersion according to *Example 4.5(2)*. By *Proposition* 5.13 its image $S = \beta(-\pi, \pi)$ (which has been plotted below) has a unique topology and smooth structure such that S is an immersed submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 and such that β is a diffeomorphism onto its image S.

Consider now the smooth map

$$
B \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2, \ t \mapsto (\sin 2t, \sin t)
$$

and note that its image lies in S . As a map from $\mathbb R$ to S , B is not continuous, because $\beta^{-1} \circ B$ is not continuous at $t = \pi$.

(2) If M is a smooth manifold and if S is an immersed submanifold of M, then S is said to be weakly embedded in M if every smooth map $F: N \to M$ whose image lies in S is a smooth map as a map from M to S. Exercise $5(c)$ shows that embedded submanifolds are weakly embedded, while the previous example demonstrates that there are immersed submanifolds which are not weakly embedded.

Exercise 6 (*Extension lemma for functions on submanifolds*): Let M be a smooth manifold, let $S \subseteq M$ be a smooth submanifold, and let $f \in C^{\infty}(S)$. Prove the following assertions:

(a) If S is an embedded submanifold, then there exists a neighborhood U of S in M and a smooth function \tilde{f} on U such that $\tilde{f}|_S = f$.

[Hint: Use the local slice criterion and partitions of unity.]

(b) If S is a properly embedded submanifold, then the neighborhood U in (a) can be taken to be all of M.

[Hint: Take the construction in (a) as well as Exercise 1(b) into account.]

Solution:

(a) Let $p \in S$ and pick a slice chart (U_p, φ_p) for S in M such that $p \in U_p$. Note that $U_p \cap S$ is a properly embedded submanifold of U_p by Theorem 5.9 and by the solution of *Exercise* 4; in particular, $U_p \cap S$ a closed subset of U_p by *Exercise* 1(b). By *Exercise* 5(a), the restriction $f|_{U_p\cap S}$: $U_p\cap S\to\mathbb{R}$ of f to $U_p\cap S$ is smooth, and thus by Lemma 2.22 there exists a smooth function $f_p: U_p \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_p|_{U_p \cap S} = f|_{U_p \cap S}$ and $\text{supp}(f_p) \subseteq U_p$.

Next, consider the open subset

$$
U\coloneqq \bigcup_{p\in S} U_p
$$

of M and observe that U is an open neighborhood of S in M; in particular, U is an open submanifold of M. Let $\{\psi_p\}_{p\in S}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the open covering ${U_p}_{p \in S}$ of U, consider the smooth function

$$
\widetilde{f}: U \to \mathbb{R}, \ \widetilde{f}(x) \coloneqq \sum_{p \in S} \psi_p(x) f_p(x)
$$

and note that $\widetilde{f}|_S = f$. Therefore, \widetilde{f} is the desired smooth extension of f. (b) By *Exercise* 1(b), S is a closed subset of M , so

$$
\bigg(\bigcup_{p\in S} U_p\bigg)\cup \big(M\setminus S\big)
$$

is an open covering of M. Therefore, bearing the previous construction in mind, we may now consider a smooth partition of unity $\{\psi_p\}_{p\in S} \cup \{\psi_0\}$ subordinate the open covering $U \cup (M \setminus S)$ of M, and we may thus construct as above a smooth extension \hat{f} of f on the whole of M.

Remark. It can be shown that the results in *Exercise* 6 can be strengthened as follows: Let M be a smooth manifold and let $S \subseteq M$ be a smooth submanifold. The following statements hold:

- (a) $S \subseteq M$ is embedded if and only if every $f \in C^{\infty}(S)$ has a smooth extension to a neighborhood of S in M.
- (b) $S \subseteq M$ is properly embedded if and only if every $f \in C^{\infty}(S)$ has a smooth extension to all of M.