# Problem Set 1 For the Exercise Session on September 10



## Problem 1: Review of Random Variables

Let X and Y be discrete random variables defined on some probability space with a joint pmf  $p_{XY}(x, y)$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed.

(a) Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] = a\mathbb{E}[X] + b\mathbb{E}[Y]$ . Do not assume independence.

(b) Prove that if X and Y are independent random variables, then  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

(c) Assume that X and Y are not independent. Find an example where  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] \neq \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ , and another example where  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

 $(d)$  Prove that if X and Y are independent, then they are also uncorrelated, i.e.,

$$
Cov(X, Y) := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] = 0.
$$
\n
$$
(1)
$$

 $(e)$  Find an example where X and Y are uncorrelated but dependent.

(f) Assume that X and Y are uncorrelated and let  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$  be the variances of X and Y, respectively. Find the variance of  $aX + bY$  and express it in terms of  $\sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2, a, b$ . **Hint:** First show that  $Cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] - \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

Solution 1. (a)

$$
\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (ax + by)p_{XY}(x, y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{x} ax \sum_{y} p_{XY}(x, y) + \sum_{y} by \sum_{x} p_{XY}(x, y)
$$
  
= 
$$
a \sum_{x} x p_X(x) + b \sum_{y} y p_Y(y)
$$
  
= 
$$
a\mathbb{E}[X] + b\mathbb{E}[Y].
$$

(b) If X and Y are independent, we have  $p_{XY}(x, y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$ , then

$$
\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \sum_{X} \sum_{Y} xyp_{XY}(x, y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{X} \sum_{Y} xp_{X}(x)yp_{Y}(y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{X} xp_{X}(x) \sum_{Y} yp_{Y}(y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]
$$

(c) For the first example, suppose  $Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $Pr(X = 0, Y = 1)$  $0) = Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = 0$ . X, Y are dependent, and we have  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = 0$  while  $\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{4}$ For the second example, suppose  $Pr(X = -1, Y = 0) = Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = \frac{1}{3}$ . X, Y are dependent. Obviously we have  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = 0$ , and furthermore  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ , hence  $\mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$ . (d) If X and Y are independent, we have  $p_{XY}(x, y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$ , then

$$
\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (x - \mathbb{E}[X])(y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) p_{XY}(x, y)
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (x - \mathbb{E}[X])(y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) p_X(x) p_Y(y)
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{x} (x - \mathbb{E}[X]) p_X(x) \sum_{y} (y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) p_Y(y)
$$
  

$$
= (\mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbb{E}[X])(\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[Y]) = 0.
$$

Thus, X and Y are uncorrelated.

 $(e)$  One example where X and Y are uncorrelated but dependent is

$$
\mathbb{P}_{XY}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } (x,y) \in \{(-1,0), (1,0), (0,1)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

First, it can be easily checked that  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = 0 = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$  (note that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ ). Second, X and Y are dependent since  $\mathbb{P}_{XY}(1,0) = \frac{1}{3}$  but  $\mathbb{P}_X(1)\mathbb{P}_Y(0) = \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{2}{3}$ .

 $(f)$  First, we have

$$
Cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]
$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}[XY - X\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[X]Y + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] - \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y].$ 

Thus,  $Cov(X, Y) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ . Then,

$$
\sigma_{aX+bY}^2 = \mathbb{E}[aX + bY - \mathbb{E}[aX + bY]]^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E}[(aX + bY)^2] - (\mathbb{E}[aX + bY])^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= a^2 \mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2ab \mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] + b^2 \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - a^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2 - 2ab \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y] - b^2 \mathbb{E}[Y]^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= a^2 (\mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2) + b^2 (\mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
= a^2 \sigma_X^2 + b^2 \sigma_Y^2.
$$

We remark that since the independence of X and Y implies  $Cov(X,Y) = 0$ , we also have  $\sigma_{aX+bY}^2 =$  $a^2\sigma_X^2 + b^2\sigma_Y^2$  if X and Y are independent.

#### Problem 2: Review of Gaussian Random Variables

A random variable  $X$  with probability density function

$$
p_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}}\tag{2}
$$

is called a Gaussian random variable.

(a) Explicitly calculate the mean  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ , the second moment  $\mathbb{E}[X^2]$ , and the variance  $Var[X]$  of the random variable X.

 $(b)$  Let us now consider events of the following kind:

$$
\Pr(X < \alpha). \tag{3}
$$

Unfortunately for Gaussian random variables this cannot be calculated in closed form. Instead, we will rewrite it in terms of the standard Q-function:

$$
Q(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du \tag{4}
$$

Express  $Pr(X < \alpha)$  in terms of the Q-function and the parameters m and  $\sigma^2$  of the Gaussian pdf.

Like we said, the Q-function cannot be calculated in closed form. Therefore, it is important to have bounds on the Q-function. In the next 3 subproblems, you derive the most important of these bounds, learning some very general and powerful tools along the way:

 $(c)$  Derive the Markov inequality, which says that for any non-negative random variable X and positive a, we have

$$
\Pr(X \ge a) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}.\tag{5}
$$

(d) Use the Markov inequality to derive the Chernoff bound: the probability that a real random variable  $Z$  exceeds  $b$  is given by

$$
\Pr(Z \ge b) \le \mathbb{E}\big[e^{s(Z-b)}\big], \qquad s \ge 0. \tag{6}
$$

 $(e)$  Use the Chernoff bound to show that

$$
Q(x) \le e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \ge 0. \tag{7}
$$

Solution 2. (a) First,

$$
\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_X(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du + m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du
$$
  
\n
$$
\stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} 0 + m
$$
  
\n
$$
= m,
$$
\n(8)

where (\*) follows by a change of variable  $u = x - m$  and (†) follows since the first integrand in (8) is an odd function and the second integrand in (8) is a probability density function. We remark that the integral

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \, dx
$$

known as *Gaussian integral*, can be evaluated explicitly to be  $\sqrt{\pi}$ . Second,

$$
\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 p_X(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du + \frac{2m}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du + m^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du
$$
 (9)  
\n
$$
\stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \sigma^2 + 0 + m^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sigma^2 + m^2,
$$

where (\*) follows by a change of variable  $u = x - m$  and (†) follows from the same arguments in the evaluation of  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  and an integration by parts to the first integral in (9):

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du = -\frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \left( u e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du \right)
$$
  
= 0 +  $\sigma^2$ .

Therefore,

$$
Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X - \mathbb{E}[X]]^2
$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$   
=  $\sigma^2 + m^2 - m^2$   
=  $\sigma^2$ .

(b)

$$
\mathbb{P}(X < \alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx
$$

$$
\stackrel{\underset{(x)}{=} \frac{\alpha - m}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du
$$

$$
= 1 - Q\left(\frac{\alpha - m}{\sigma}\right),
$$

where (\*) follows by a change of variable  $u = \frac{x-m}{\sigma}$ .  $(c)$ 

$$
\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^a x p_X(x) dx + \int_a^\infty x p_X(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq 0 + a \int_a^\infty p_X(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= a \mathbb{P}(X \geq a).
$$

(d) Fix  $s \geq 0$ , then we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(Z \ge b) \le \mathbb{P}(s(Z - b) \ge 0)
$$
  
= 
$$
\mathbb{P}(e^{s(Z - b)} \ge e^0)
$$
  

$$
\le \mathbb{E}[e^{s(Z - b)}],
$$

where (∗) follows from the Markov inequality.

 $(e)$  Let X be a Gaussian random variable with mean zero and unit variance, then we have

$$
Q(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \ge x)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{s(X-x)}\right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{s(u-x)} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du
$$
  
\n
$$
= e^{-sx + \frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(u-s)^2}{2}} du
$$
  
\n
$$
= e^{-sx + \frac{s^2}{2}},
$$

where (∗) follows from the Chernoff bound. In order to get the tightest bound, we need to minimize  $-sx + s^2/2$  which gives  $s = x$  and then the desired bound is established.

## Problem 3: Moment Generating Function

In the class we had considered the logarithmic moment generating function

$$
\phi(s) := \ln \mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)] = \ln \sum_{x} p(x) \exp(sx)
$$

of a real-valued random variable X taking values on a finite set, and showed that  $\phi'(s) = \mathbb{E}[X_s]$  where  $X_s$ is a random variable taking the same values as X but with probabilities  $p_s(x) := p(x) \exp(sx) \exp(-\phi(s))$ .

(a) Show that

$$
\phi''(s) = \text{Var}(X_s) := \mathbb{E}[X_s^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_s]^2
$$

and conclude that  $\phi''(s) \geq 0$  and the inequality is strict except when X is deterministic.

(b) Let  $x_{\min} := \min\{x : p(x) > 0\}$  and  $x_{\max} := \max\{x : p(x) > 0\}$  be the smallest and largest values X takes. Show that

$$
\lim_{s \to -\infty} \phi'(s) = x_{\min}, \text{ and } \lim_{s \to \infty} \phi'(s) = x_{\max}.
$$

**Solution 3.** (a) As  $\phi(s) := \ln \mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]$ , we have

$$
\phi'(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]} = \mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX) \exp(-\phi(s))] = \mathbb{E}[X_s]
$$
\n(10)

$$
\phi''(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2 \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]} - \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]^2}
$$
(11)

The second term is  $\mathbb{E}[X_s]^2$  and the first term equals  $\sum_x x^2 \exp(sx)/\exp(\phi(s)) = \mathbb{E}[X_s^2]$ . So  $\phi''(s) =$  $Var(X_s)$ . Moreover,  $Var(X_s) \ge 0$  with equality only when  $X_s$  is deterministic. But  $X_s$  is deterministic only when  $X$  is.

(b) Observe that

$$
\phi'(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)] \exp(-sx_{max})}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)] \exp(-sx_{max})}
$$
(12)

$$
= \frac{\sum_{x} p(x)x \exp(-s(x_{max} - x))}{\sum_{x} p(x) \exp(-s(x_{max} - x))}
$$
\n(13)

In the sums above, as  $s \to \infty$ , all terms vanish except the ones for  $x = x_{max}$ . Hence we have

$$
\lim_{s \to \infty} \phi'(s) = \frac{p(x_{max})x_{max}}{p(x_{max})} = x_{max}
$$
\n(14)

Similarly, we can show that  $\lim_{s\to-\infty} \phi'(s) = x_{min}$ .

#### Problem 4: Hoeffding's Lemma

Prove Lemma 2.4 in the lecture notes. In other words, prove that if X is a zero-mean random variable taking values in  $[a, b]$  then

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}[(a-b)^2/4]}.
$$

Expressed differently, X is  $[(a - b)^2/4]$ -subgaussian.

Hint: You can use the following steps to prove the lemma:

1. Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Let X be a random variable such that  $a \le X \le b$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . By considering the convex function  $x \to e^{\lambda x}$ , show that

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le \frac{b}{b-a} e^{\lambda a} - \frac{a}{b-a} e^{\lambda b}.
$$
 (15)

2. Let  $p = -a/(b - a)$  and  $h = \lambda(b - a)$ . Verify that the right-hand side of (15) equals  $e^{L(h)}$  where

$$
L(h) = -hp + \log(1 - p + pe^h).
$$

3. By Taylor's theorem, there exists  $\xi \in (0, h)$  such that

$$
L(h) = L(0) + hL'(0) + \frac{h^2}{2}L''(\xi).
$$

Show that  $L(h) \leq h^2/8$  and hence  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq e^{\lambda^2(b-a)^2/8}$ .

**Solution 4.** Since  $e^{\lambda x}$  is convex in x we have for all  $a \leq x \leq b$ ,

$$
e^{\lambda x} \le \frac{b-x}{b-a} e^{\lambda a} + \frac{x-a}{b-a} e^{\lambda b}.
$$

If we take the expected value of this wrt X and recall that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  then it follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le \frac{b}{b-a}e^{\lambda a} - \frac{a}{b-a}e^{\lambda b}.
$$

Consider the right-hand side. Note that we must have  $a < 0$  and  $b > 0$  since  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . Following the hint further, consider now

$$
L(h) = L(0) + hL'(0) + \frac{h^2}{2}L''(\xi).
$$

First we have  $L(0) = 0$ . Next,

$$
L'(h) = -p + \frac{pe^h}{1 - p + pe^h},
$$

hence,

$$
L'(h=0)=0.
$$

Finally,

$$
L''(\xi) = \frac{pe^{\xi}(1-p+pe^{\xi}) - p^2e^2\xi}{(1-p+pe^{\xi})^2} = \frac{pe^{\xi}(1-p)}{(1-p+pe^{\xi})^2}.
$$

It thus remains to show that this expression is bounded by  $1/4$  for all  $0 \le \xi \le h$ . Thus, we can define  $a = pe^{\xi}$  and  $b = 1 - p$ , with which we can write

$$
L''(\xi) = \frac{pe^{\xi}(1-p)}{(1-p+pe^{\xi})^2} = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2}.
$$

and use the inequality  $\frac{ab}{(a+b)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  to conclude.

An alternative way to solve this problem could be define  $\phi(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$ .

$$
\phi'(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}
$$

So  $\phi(0) = \frac{0}{1} = 0$ .

$$
\phi''(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\phi'(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\frac{\mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2e^{\lambda X}]\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] - \mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]\mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]^2}
$$

For  $\lambda = 0$ , we have

$$
\phi''(0) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = \text{Var}(X)
$$

Also, we have  $\phi(\lambda) \leq \phi(0) + \phi'(0)\lambda + \phi''(0)\frac{\lambda^2}{2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \text{Var}(X)$  As X is random variable taking values in [a, b]. The largest variance is achieved when  $Pr\{X = a\} = \frac{b}{b-a} Pr\{X = b\} = \frac{-a}{b-a}$ .

$$
\text{Var}(X) \le \frac{(b-a)^2}{4} \tag{16}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}}
$$

X is  $[(b-a)^2/4]$ -subgaussian.

## Problem 5: Expected Maximum of Subgaussians

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a collection of n  $\sigma^2$ -subgaussian random variables, not necessarily independent of each other. Let  $Y = \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} X_i$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[Y] \leq \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \log n}$ . Hint: Recall that by Jensen,  $e^{\lambda \mathbb{E}[X]} \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}].$ 

**Solution 5.** Consider the MGF of Y, we have the following relations for all  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} X_i)] \le \mathbb{E}[\sum_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} e^{\lambda X_i}].
$$

Note that by the linearity of expectation (this does not require independence) and the assumptions that  ${X_i}_{i=1}^n$  are  $\sigma^2$ -subgaussian random variables, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] \le n e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2/2}.
$$

Using the hint, we have

$$
e^{\lambda E[Y]} \le e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 / 2 + \log n},
$$

which implies that

$$
E[Y] \le \lambda \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log n.
$$

Optimizing over  $\lambda$ , we have the optimal  $\lambda^* = \sqrt{\frac{2 \log n}{\sigma^2}}$ , which gives us the desired inequality.