# Problem Set 1 For the Exercise Session on September 10

Last name	First name	SCIPER Nr	Points

## **Problem 1: Review of Random Variables**

Let X and Y be discrete random variables defined on some probability space with a joint pmf  $p_{XY}(x, y)$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed.

(a) Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] = a\mathbb{E}[X] + b\mathbb{E}[Y]$ . Do not assume independence.

(b) Prove that if X and Y are independent random variables, then  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

(c) Assume that X and Y are not independent. Find an example where  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] \neq \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ , and another example where  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

(d) Prove that if X and Y are independent, then they are also uncorrelated, i.e.,

$$Cov(X,Y) := \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])\right] = 0.$$
(1)

(e) Find an example where X and Y are uncorrelated but dependent.

(f) Assume that X and Y are uncorrelated and let  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$  be the variances of X and Y, respectively. Find the variance of aX + bY and express it in terms of  $\sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2, a, b$ . **Hint:** First show that  $Cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] - \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

Solution 1. (a)

$$\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (ax + by) p_{XY}(x, y)$$
  
$$= \sum_{x} ax \sum_{y} p_{XY}(x, y) + \sum_{y} by \sum_{x} p_{XY}(x, y)$$
  
$$= a \sum_{x} x p_{X}(x) + b \sum_{y} y p_{Y}(y)$$
  
$$= a \mathbb{E}[X] + b \mathbb{E}[Y].$$

(b) If X and Y are independent, we have  $p_{XY}(x,y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \sum_{X} \sum_{Y} xyp_{XY}(x, y)$$
$$= \sum_{X} \sum_{Y} xp_{X}(x)yp_{Y}(y)$$
$$= \sum_{X} xp_{X}(x) \sum_{Y} yp_{Y}(y)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$$

(c) For the first example, suppose  $Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) = Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = 0. X, Y are dependent, and we have  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = 0$  while  $\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{4}$ For the second example, suppose  $Pr(X = -1, Y = 0) = Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = \frac{1}{3}$ . X, Y are dependent. Obviously we have  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = 0$ , and furthermore  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ , hence  $\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$ . (d) If X and Y are independent, we have  $p_{XY}(x, y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (x - \mathbb{E}[X])(y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) p_{XY}(x, y)$$
$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (x - \mathbb{E}[X])(y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) p_{X}(x) p_{Y}(y)$$
$$= \sum_{x} (x - \mathbb{E}[X]) p_{X}(x) \sum_{y} (y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) p_{Y}(y)$$
$$= (\mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbb{E}[X])(\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[Y]) = 0.$$

Thus, X and Y are uncorrelated.

(e) One example where X and Y are uncorrelated but dependent is

$$\mathbb{P}_{XY}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } (x,y) \in \{(-1,0), (1,0), (0,1)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First, it can be easily checked that  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = 0 = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$  (note that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ ). Second, X and Y are dependent since  $\mathbb{P}_{XY}(1,0) = \frac{1}{3}$  but  $\mathbb{P}_X(1)\mathbb{P}_Y(0) = \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{2}{3}$ .

(f) First, we have

$$Cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])\right]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}\left[XY - X\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[X]Y + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]\right]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] - \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y].$ 

Thus, Cov(X, Y) = 0 if and only if  $\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]$ . Then,

r nen,

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{aX+bY}^2 &= & \mathbb{E}[aX+bY-\mathbb{E}[aX+bY]]^2 \\ &= & \mathbb{E}[(aX+bY)^2] - (\mathbb{E}[aX+bY])^2 \\ &= & a^2 \mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2ab\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] + b^2 \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - a^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2 - 2ab\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - b^2 \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 \\ &= & a^2 (\mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2) + b^2 (\mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2) \\ &= & a^2 \sigma_X^2 + b^2 \sigma_Y^2. \end{split}$$

We remark that since the independence of X and Y implies Cov(X,Y) = 0, we also have  $\sigma_{aX+bY}^2 = a^2 \sigma_X^2 + b^2 \sigma_Y^2$  if X and Y are independent.

### **Problem 2: Review of Gaussian Random Variables**

A random variable X with probability density function

$$p_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(2)

is called a *Gaussian* random variable.

(a) Explicitly calculate the mean  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ , the second moment  $\mathbb{E}[X^2]$ , and the variance Var[X] of the random variable X.

(b) Let us now consider events of the following kind:

$$\Pr(X < \alpha). \tag{3}$$

Unfortunately for Gaussian random variables this cannot be calculated in closed form. Instead, we will rewrite it in terms of the standard Q-function:

$$Q(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du$$
 (4)

Express  $\Pr(X < \alpha)$  in terms of the Q-function and the parameters m and  $\sigma^2$  of the Gaussian pdf.

Like we said, the Q-function cannot be calculated in closed form. Therefore, it is important to have *bounds* on the Q-function. In the next 3 subproblems, you derive the most important of these bounds, learning some very general and powerful tools along the way:

(c) Derive the Markov inequality, which says that for any non-negative random variable X and positive a, we have

$$\Pr(X \ge a) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}.$$
(5)

(d) Use the Markov inequality to derive the Chernoff bound: the probability that a real random variable Z exceeds b is given by

$$\Pr(Z \ge b) \le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{s(Z-b)}\right], \qquad s \ge 0.$$
(6)

(e) Use the Chernoff bound to show that

$$Q(x) \le e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \ge 0.$$
 (7)

Solution 2. (a) First,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_X(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du + m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du \qquad (8)$$

$$\stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} 0 + m$$

$$= m,$$

where (\*) follows by a change of variable u = x - m and (†) follows since the first integrand in (8) is an odd function and the second integrand in (8) is a probability density function. We remark that the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \, dx$$

known as Gaussian integral, can be evaluated explicitly to be  $\sqrt{\pi}\,.$  Second,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{2}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} p_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} dx$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^{2} e^{-\frac{u^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} du + \frac{2m}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u e^{-\frac{u^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} du + m^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{u^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} du \qquad (9)$$

$$\stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \sigma^{2} + 0 + m^{2}$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + m^{2},$$

where (\*) follows by a change of variable u = x - m and (†) follows from the same arguments in the evaluation of  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  and an integration by parts to the first integral in (9):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du = -\frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \left( u e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du \right)$$
$$= 0 + \sigma^2.$$

Therefore,

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X - \mathbb{E}[X]]^2$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$
$$= \sigma^2 + m^2 - m^2$$
$$= \sigma^2.$$

*(b)* 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(X < \alpha) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \, dx \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\alpha-m}{\sigma}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} \, du \\ &= 1 - Q\left(\frac{\alpha-m}{\sigma}\right), \end{split}$$

where (\*) follows by a change of variable  $u = \frac{x-m}{\sigma}$ . (c)

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^a x p_X(x) \, dx + \int_a^\infty x p_X(x) \, dx$$
$$\geq 0 + a \int_a^\infty p_X(x) \, dx$$
$$= a \mathbb{P}(X \ge a).$$

(d) Fix  $s \ge 0$ , then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \ge b) \le \mathbb{P}(s(Z-b) \ge 0)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(e^{s(Z-b)} \ge e^0)$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\le} \mathbb{E}[e^{s(Z-b)}],$$

where (\*) follows from the Markov inequality.

(e) Let X be a Gaussian random variable with mean zero and unit variance, then we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q(x) & = & \mathbb{P}(X \ge x) \\ & \stackrel{(*)}{\le} & \mathbb{E}\left[e^{s(X-x)}\right] \\ & = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{s(u-x)} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} \, du \\ & = & e^{-sx + \frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(u-s)^2}{2}} \, du \\ & = & e^{-sx + \frac{s^2}{2}}, \end{array}$$

where (\*) follows from the Chernoff bound. In order to get the tightest bound, we need to minimize  $-sx + s^2/2$  which gives s = x and then the desired bound is established.

## **Problem 3: Moment Generating Function**

In the class we had considered the logarithmic moment generating function

$$\phi(s) := \ln \mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)] = \ln \sum_{x} p(x) \exp(sx)$$

of a real-valued random variable X taking values on a finite set, and showed that  $\phi'(s) = \mathbb{E}[X_s]$  where  $X_s$  is a random variable taking the same values as X but with probabilities  $p_s(x) := p(x) \exp(sx) \exp(-\phi(s))$ .

(a) Show that

$$\phi''(s) = \operatorname{Var}(X_s) := \mathbb{E}[X_s^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_s]^2$$

and conclude that  $\phi''(s) \ge 0$  and the inequality is strict except when X is deterministic.

(b) Let  $x_{\min} := \min\{x : p(x) > 0\}$  and  $x_{\max} := \max\{x : p(x) > 0\}$  be the smallest and largest values X takes. Show that

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \phi'(s) = x_{\min}, \text{ and } \lim_{s \to \infty} \phi'(s) = x_{\max}.$$

**Solution 3.** (a) As  $\phi(s) := \ln \mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]$ , we have

$$\phi'(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]} = \mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX) \exp(-\phi(s))] = \mathbb{E}[X_s]$$
(10)

$$\phi''(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2 \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]} - \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]^2}$$
(11)

The second term is  $\mathbb{E}[X_s]^2$  and the first term equals  $\sum_x x^2 \exp(sx) / \exp(\phi(s)) = \mathbb{E}[X_s^2]$ . So  $\phi''(s) = \operatorname{Var}(X_s)$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{Var}(X_s) \ge 0$  with equality only when  $X_s$  is deterministic. But  $X_s$  is deterministic only when X is.

(b) Observe that

$$\phi'(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \exp(sX)] \exp(-sx_{max})}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)] \exp(-sx_{max})}$$
(12)

$$= \frac{\sum_{x} p(x) x \exp(-s(x_{max} - x)))}{\sum_{x} p(x) \exp(-s(x_{max} - x))}$$
(13)

In the sums above, as  $s \to \infty$ , all terms vanish except the ones for  $x = x_{max}$ . Hence we have

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \phi'(s) = \frac{p(x_{max})x_{max}}{p(x_{max})} = x_{max}$$
(14)

Similarly, we can show that  $\lim_{s \to -\infty} \phi'(s) = x_{min}$ .

### Problem 4: Hoeffding's Lemma

Prove Lemma 2.4 in the lecture notes. In other words, prove that if X is a zero-mean random variable taking values in [a, b] then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] < e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}[(a-b)^2/4]}.$$

Expressed differently, X is  $[(a-b)^2/4]$ -subgaussian.

*Hint:* You can use the following steps to prove the lemma:

1. Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Let X be a random variable such that  $a \leq X \leq b$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . By considering the convex function  $x \to e^{\lambda x}$ , show that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le \frac{b}{b-a}e^{\lambda a} - \frac{a}{b-a}e^{\lambda b}.$$
(15)

2. Let p = -a/(b-a) and  $h = \lambda(b-a)$ . Verify that the right-hand side of (15) equals  $e^{L(h)}$  where

$$L(h) = -hp + \log(1 - p + pe^{h}).$$

3. By Taylor's theorem, there exists  $\xi \in (0, h)$  such that

$$L(h) = L(0) + hL'(0) + \frac{h^2}{2}L''(\xi).$$

Show that  $L(h) \leq h^2/8$  and hence  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq e^{\lambda^2 (b-a)^2/8}$ .

**Solution 4.** Since  $e^{\lambda x}$  is convex in x we have for all  $a \leq x \leq b$ ,

$$e^{\lambda x} \le \frac{b-x}{b-a}e^{\lambda a} + \frac{x-a}{b-a}e^{\lambda b}$$

If we take the expected value of this wrt X and recall that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  then it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le \frac{b}{b-a}e^{\lambda a} - \frac{a}{b-a}e^{\lambda b}.$$

Consider the right-hand side. Note that we must have a < 0 and b > 0 since  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . Following the hint further, consider now

$$L(h) = L(0) + hL'(0) + \frac{h^2}{2}L''(\xi).$$

First we have L(0) = 0. Next,

$$L'(h) = -p + \frac{pe^h}{1 - p + pe^h},$$

hence,

$$L'(h=0)=0.$$

Finally,

$$L''(\xi) = \frac{pe^{\xi}(1-p+pe^{\xi})-p^2e^2\xi}{(1-p+pe^{\xi})^2} = \frac{pe^{\xi}(1-p)}{(1-p+pe^{\xi})^2}.$$

It thus remains to show that this expression is bounded by 1/4 for all  $0 \le \xi \le h$ . Thus, we can define  $a = pe^{\xi}$  and b = 1 - p, with which we can write

$$L''(\xi) = \frac{pe^{\xi}(1-p)}{(1-p+pe^{\xi})^2} = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2}.$$

and use the inequality  $\frac{ab}{(a+b)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  to conclude.

An alternative way to solve this problem could be define  $\phi(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$ .

$$\phi'(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}$$

So  $\phi(0) = \frac{0}{1} = 0$ .

$$\phi''(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\phi'(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\frac{\mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2e^{\lambda X}]\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] - \mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]\mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]^2}$$

For  $\lambda = 0$ , we have

$$\phi''(0) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = \operatorname{Var}(X)$$

Also, we have  $\phi(\lambda) \leq \phi(0) + \phi'(0)\lambda + \phi''(0)\frac{\lambda^2}{2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(X)$  As X is random variable taking values in [a, b]. The largest variance is achieved when  $\operatorname{Pr}\{X = a\} = \frac{b}{b-a}$   $\operatorname{Pr}\{X = b\} = \frac{-a}{b-a}$ .

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) \le \frac{(b-a)^2}{4} \tag{16}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}}$$

X is  $[(b-a)^2/4]$ -subgaussian.

# Problem 5: Expected Maximum of Subgaussians

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a collection of  $n \ \sigma^2$ -subgaussian random variables, not necessarily independent of each other. Let  $Y = \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} X_i$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[Y] \leq \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \log n}$ . *Hint:* Recall that by Jensen,  $e^{\lambda \mathbb{E}[X]} \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$ .

**Solution 5.** Consider the MGF of Y, we have the following relations for all  $\lambda \ge 0$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda \max_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}} X_i)] \le \mathbb{E}[\sum_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}} e^{\lambda X_i}].$$

Note that by the linearity of expectation (this does not require independence) and the assumptions that  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are  $\sigma^2$ -subgaussian random variables, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] \le n e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2/2}.$$

Using the hint, we have

$$e^{\lambda E[Y]} \le e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 / 2 + \log n},$$

which implies that

$$E[Y] \le \lambda \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log n.$$

Optimizing over  $\lambda$ , we have the optimal  $\lambda^* = \sqrt{\frac{2 \log n}{\sigma^2}}$ , which gives us the desired inequality.