

GLM: Name NB 9.75/12 → 4.875/6

1. Formatting:

0.75/0.75

all margins 2.5cm

informative title

12 pt size

name on all pages

no raw R code or output

all pages numbered

max **10** pages

no blurry plots (**NOT png**)

(too many digits)

2. Introduction/Background:

1/1

brief statement of scientific question

all variables defined

3. EDA:

1.5/2

univariate numerical

→ (5-number summary)

bivariate numerical (cor)

Fig 4 unnecessary explanation

univariate graphical

→ don't need boxplots (Fig 2)
what vars are you using?

bivariate graphical

all pairs

4. Model fitting:

1.25/2

give mathematical definition of model

state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

- Don't need numerical details

CLEARLY describe how model selected

define all terms

5. Model assessment:

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

1.5/2

1. count outcome Poisson

2. independent obs

3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor

4. conditional mean = conditional variance

?? 2 numbers are always proportional

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):
scatterplots (linearity assumption)

log-odds?

sec. 2.1 goes in Model fitting
6/7.75

(write coefs inline)

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max **2 sig digits** on coefs

7. Plots: *(Shapes) + incomplete*

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

NOT BLURRY

8. Conclusions

*(+EDA
recap analysis)*

*somewhat vague at end
state main findings*

'seems to be' ?

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

3.75/4.25

GLM: Name LB 5.5/12 → 2.75/6

1. Formatting:

0.5 / 0.75

all margins 2.5cm
12 pt size

informative title

name on all pages

no raw R code or output

all pages numbered

max 10 pages

no blurry plots (NOT png)

2. Introduction/Background:

0.5 / 1

use your own words

brief statement of scientific question

all variables defined

3. EDA:

0.25

univariate numerical

bivariate numerical (cor)

2

univariate graphical

bivariate graphical

call pairs

4. Model fitting:

1/2

give mathematical definition of model

state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

CLEARLY describe how model selected

define all terms

- if you have interaction, you should normally keep lower order terms

5. Model assessment:

you assess not verify

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

incomplete

- 1. count outcome Poisson
- 2. independent obs
- 3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor
- 4. conditional mean = conditional variance

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):
scatterplots (linearity assumption)

SQUARE QQ

p.4 (top) very vague

3/7.75

0.25/1 write numerical equation

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max 2 sig digits on coefs

1/1.25

7. Plots:

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

pairs plots

NOT BLURRY

0.25/1

8. Conclusions

- make separate section

recap analysis

* interpretation
state main findings

→ be specific

1/1

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

⊗ cannot conclude causation, only causation

- no refs

- use your own words

2.5/4.25

good job!!

@ Don't need to re-do +.25 NB

GLM: Name AB 11.5/12 → 5.875/6 → 6/6

1. Formatting:

0.75 / 0.75

all margins 2.5cm

informative title

12 pt size

name on all pages

no raw R code or output

all pages numbered

max 10 pages

no blurry plots (NOT png)

2. Introduction/Background:

1/1

brief statement of scientific question

all variables defined

3. EDA:

2/2

univariate numerical

bivariate numerical (cor)

univariate graphical

bivariate graphical

4. Model fitting:

*

very good

2/2

give mathematical definition of model

state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

CLEARLY describe how model selected

define all terms

5. Model assessment:

1.5/2

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

1. count outcome Poisson

2. independent obs

3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor

4. conditional mean = conditional variance

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):

scatterplots (linearity assumption)

@ model: $\log Y = X\beta$, est $\log Y = X\hat{\beta}$
not $\log \hat{Y}$

7.25/7.75

$\log y$ not $\log \hat{y}$

4/1

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max **2 sig digits** on coefs

7. Plots:

1.25/1.25

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

NOT BLURRY

8. Conclusions

0.75/1

recap analysis

⊛ interpretation
state main findings

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

1.25/1.25

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

⊛ Careful: causation can be claimed for experimental study, otherwise only association

- when you have inline fractions, use \displaystyle so that the fracs not too small

4.25/4.25

GLM: Name ED 9/12 → 4.5/6

1. Formatting:

0.5 / 0.75
all margins 2.5cm
12 pt size
no raw R code or output
max 10 pages

informative title
name on all pages
all pages numbered
no blurry plots (NOT png),
too many digits

2. Introduction/Background:

brief statement of scientific question
all variables defined

3. EDA:

1.75 / 2
univariate numerical bivariate numerical (cor)
univariate graphical bivariate graphical

4. Model fitting:

I don't understand your model names

give mathematical definition of model
state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

CLEARLY describe how model selected
define all terms

- lowest AIC value in text does not agree with table

5. Model assessment:

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

- 1. count outcome Poisson 2. independent obs
- 3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor
- 4. conditional mean = conditional variance

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):
scatterplots (linearity assumption)

- Define all tests: null/alt/Test Stat/
null dist / P
- Table 5 below description
- Don't need R fns

5.5 / 7.75

- why do you mention all coeffs? It's confusing

0.5/1

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

- not red

- write in terms of $\ln Y$

hat on response variable

max 2 sig digits on coeffs

(ok if coeffs in table)

- Don't shade p-values - hard to read

1.25/1.25

7. Plots:

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

NOT BLURRY

0.75/1

8. Conclusions

+ EDS

recap analysis

⊗ interpretation
state main findings

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

⊗ good

excellent

10. Other comments:

- Don't need title page / table of contents

⊗ cannot conclude causation ('gender does not impact'), only association

- use primary refs + data paper ref

3.5/4.25

GLM: Name

CF

6.75 / 12 →

3.375 / 6

1. Formatting:

0.5 / 0.75

all margins 2.5cm

12 pt size

no raw R code or output

max 10 pages

informative title

name on all pages

all pages numbered

no blurry plots (NOT png)

2. Introduction/Background:

0.5

brief statement of scientific question

all variables defined

3. EDA:

QQ?

univariate numerical

univariate graphical

bivariate numerical (cor)

bivariate graphical

all vars
don't need boxplot

all pairs

4. Model fitting:

1.25 / 2

give mathematical definition of model

state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

CLEARLY describe how model selected

define all terms

AIC

5. Model assessment:

1.5 / 2

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

1. count outcome Poisson

2. independent obs

3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor

4. conditional mean = conditional variance

linear predictor

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):

scatterplots (linearity assumption)

SQUARE QQ

→ are you sure you did qq for pois?

4 / 7.75 var = 4 x mean!!

write equation numerically

0.75/1

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coeffs in table)

max **2 sig digits** on coeffs

1/1.25

7. Plots:

(label size) (not too small)

captions

placement

NOT BLURRY

0/1

8. Conclusions *not done*

recap analysis

state main findings

1/1

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

- also cite data paper

- use your own words (background/data description)

2.75/4.25

GLM: Name AI 9.25/12 → 4.625/6

1. Formatting:

- all margins 2.5cm
- informative title
- 12 pt size *captions?*
- name on all pages
- no raw R code or output
- all pages numbered
- max **10** pages
- no blurry plots (**NOT** png)

2. Introduction/Background:

- brief statement of scientific question
- all variables defined

3. EDA:

- univariate numerical
- bivariate numerical (cor)
- univariate graphical
- bivariate graphical *scatterplot*

4. Model fitting:

- give mathematical definition of model *why not expect gender? - incorrectly specified*
- state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)
- CLEARLY** describe how model selected *your model not min AIC if you include interactions*
- define all terms *Could max L for AIC*

5. Model assessment:

- CLEARLY state model assumptions:
 1. count outcome Poisson
 2. independent obs
 3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor
 4. conditional mean = conditional variance

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):
scatterplots (linearity assumption)

** model is $\log \lambda = \eta$, est is $\log \hat{Y} = \hat{\eta}$ (not $\log \hat{Y}$)*

6.25/7.75

write in terms of $\ln Y$ (not \hat{Y})

0.75 /

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max 2 sig digits on coefs

0.75 /
1.25

7. Plots:

label size (not too small)

captions

too small?

placement

NOT BLURRY

0.5 /

8. Conclusions

recap analysis

x-fold predicted increase

state main findings

at the end somewhat vague and generic

1 /

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

- use primary resources (not Wikipedia)

- Figure (not fig.)

good job!!

~~*~~ Don't need to re-do

+0.25 train/test

GLM: Name TR

10.75/12 → 5.5/6 → 6/6

1. Formatting:

- all margins 2.5cm
- informative title
- 12 pt size
- name on all pages
- no raw R code or output**
- all pages numbered
- max **10** pages
- no blurry plots (**NOT png**)

0.75/0.75

2. Introduction/Background:

- brief statement of scientific question
- all variables defined

1/1

3. EDA:

- univariate numerical
- bivariate numerical (cor)
- univariate graphical
- bivariate graphical

2/2

4. Model fitting: ~~*~~

+ clearly explain your 'evaluation' when you first mention it

- give mathematical definition of model
- state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)
- CLEARLY** describe how model selected
- define all terms

1.75/2

5. Model assessment:

(a) needs ~ on all parts + the table contains estimated par values

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

- 1. count outcome Poisson
- 2. independent obs
- 3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor
- 4. conditional mean = conditional variance

1.25/2 incomplete

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):
scatterplots (linearity assumption)

~~*~~ the model is $\log \tilde{y} = X\beta$, est is $\log \hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$ (not $\log \tilde{y}$)

6.75/7.75

1/1

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max **2 sig digits** on coefs

1.25
1.25

7. Plots:

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

NOT BLURRY

0.5/1

8. Conclusions

recap analysis

state main findings

1.25
1

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

- Don't need title page / contents / EPFL logo

- Poisson, not poisson

- Use paragraphing, report hard to read

4/4.25

GLM: Name

RP

8.5/12

4.25/6

1. Formatting:

0.75 / 0.75

all margins 2.5cm

12 pt size

no raw R code or output

max 10 pages

informative title

name on all pages

all pages numbered

no blurry plots (NOT png)

2. Introduction/Background:

0.75 /

brief statement of scientific question

all variables defined

3. EDA:

1.5 / 2

univariate numerical

univariate graphical

bivariate numerical (cor)

bivariate graphical

4. Model fitting:

1/2

give mathematical definition of model

state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

CLEARLY describe how model selected

define all terms

5. Model assessment:

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

1. count outcome Poisson

2. independent obs

3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor

4. conditional mean = conditional variance

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):

scatterplots (linearity assumption)

incomplete

* model is $\log Y = X\beta$, not is

$\log Y = X\beta$
not $\log Y$

5/7.75

$\hat{\log Y}$ not $\log \hat{Y}$

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

OK given model misspecification

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max 2 sig digits on coefs

4/1.25 7. Plots: make 'pretty' labels

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

NOT BLURRY

0.5 8. Conclusions

recap analysis

* interpretation
state main findings
very brief

1/1 9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references):

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

* careful - can conclude causation from experimental study, otherwise only association

3.5/4.25

GLM: Name

AS

8.75/12 → 4.375/6

1. Formatting:

0.75/0.75

all margins 2.5cm

informative title

12 pt size

name on all pages

no raw R code or output

all pages numbered

max 10 pages

no blurry plots (NOT png)

R formulas in model tables

2. Introduction/Background:

brief statement of scientific question

all variables defined

3. EDA:

remove pie charts !!!

univariate numerical

bivariate numerical (cor)

univariate graphical

bivariate graphical

4. Model fitting:

Don't need R fns

give mathematical definition of model

(1) not correct

state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

CLEARLY describe how model selected

bottom p. 4, refer specifically to Table 2

define all terms

AIC/IRLS

5. Model assessment:

what hyp test for overdispersion? assess, not confirm

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

1. count outcome Poisson

2. independent obs

3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor

4. conditional mean = conditional variance

not proven

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):

scatterplots (linearity assumption)

model is $\log \lambda = X\beta$, est is $\log \hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$ (not $\log \hat{y}$)

5.75/7.75

$\ln(A)$ not $\ln(\hat{A})$

inconsistent

0.75 / 1

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max **2 sig digits** on coefs

0.5 / 1.25

7. Plots:

no pie charts!

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

some plots too small

NOT BLURRY

0.75 / 1

8. Conclusions

use paragraphs not 'proven'
state main findings

recap analysis

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

- Header is unnecessary and distracting

3/4.25

good job!!

⊛ Don't need to re-do

GLM: Name HS 11.25/12 → 5.625/6 → 6/6

1. Formatting:

0.5/0.75

all margins 2.5cm

informative title

12 pt size

name on all pages

no raw R code or output

all pages numbered

max 10 pages

no blurry plots (NOT png)

- too many digits

2. Introduction/Background:

1/1

brief statement of scientific question

'vital' ??

all variables defined

3. EDA:

2/2

univariate numerical

bivariate numerical (cor)

univariate graphical

bivariate graphical

4. Model fitting:

⊛

1.75/2

give mathematical definition of model

state how model fitted (ie, maximum likelihood)

CLEARLY describe how model selected

define all terms

AIC

5. Model assessment:

very good

CLEARLY state model assumptions:

2/2

1. count outcome Poisson

2. independent obs

3. linear relation between log count and linear predictor

4. conditional mean = conditional variance

carry out assessment (numerical / graphics):
scatterplots (linearity assumption)

⊛ model is $\log Y = X\beta$, est is

$\widehat{\log Y} = X\hat{\beta}$
not $\log \hat{Y}$

2.25/2.75

1/1 $\log \hat{Y}$ not $\log Y$

6. Write out final estimated model **mathematically**

hat on response variable
(ok if coefs in table)

max **2 sig digits** on coefs

1.25/
1.25

7. Plots:

label size (not too small)

captions

placement

NOT BLURRY

0.5/
1

8. Conclusions

recap analysis

* interpretation
state main findings

1.25/
1.25

9. Overall presentation (clarity of explanations, appropriate citations / references) :

poor

satisfactory

good

excellent

10. Other comments:

* careful - can conclude causation for
experimental study, otherwise only association

4/4.25