Problem 1. Exercise 3 of Chapter 6

We prove that VC-dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{n-parity}$ is *n*. First, observe that $|\mathcal{H}_{n-parity}| = 2^n$ and it follows that $\operatorname{VCdim}(H_{n-parity}) \leq \log(|H_{n-parity}|) = n$. Also $\operatorname{VCdim}(H_{n-parity}) \geq n$ since it shatters the standard basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$, where e_i is a length-*n* vector that has 1 at position *i* and 0 everywhere else. To see that, observe that $h_J(e_j) = 1$ iff $i \in J$ and hence for any vector of labels (y_1, \ldots, y_n) taking $J = \{i|y_i = 1\}$ will suffice.

Problem 2. VC dimension of circles

We will show that the VC dimension of \mathcal{H} is d = 3.

1. Take three points in \mathbb{R}^2 located at the corners of an equilateral triangle. It is then clear that a circle can select any single one of these points, but also any pair of points and of course all three points together.

2. We show that \mathcal{H} cannot shatter any set of 4 points. Consider 4 points A, B, C and D.

First, assume that one of the points is in the convex hull of the other 3 points. It is then impossible to label these 3 points with 1 while labeling the point in the convex hull with 0.

If no point is in the convex hull of the three other points then the 4 points form a convex quadrilateral ABCD. The line segment [AC] is the first diagonal, and [BD] is the second one. The two diagonals [AC] and [BD] must intersect each other.

We now claim that it is impossible to have circles such that the corresponding functions implement both (0, 1, 0, 1) and (1, 0, 1, 0). This is true since it is impossible to have two circles C_1 and C_2 such that

- C_1 contains only A and C, C_2 contains only B and D, and
- [AC] cuts [BD].

If such C_1 and C_2 existed, it would imply that $(C_1 \cup C_2) \setminus (C_1 \cap C_2)$ has 4 disjoint parts.

Below, we propose another way to show that the 4 points forming the convex quadrilateral ABCD cannot be shattered by \mathcal{H} . This is a proof by contradiction. Suppose that \mathcal{H} shatters the four points. The sum of the four interior angles is 360°. Without loss of generality, we have $\angle ABC + \angle CDA \ge 180^\circ$. Because \mathcal{H} shatters the four points, there is a circle \mathcal{C} that contains A, C but not B, D. Let B', D' be the intersections of the line (BD) with \mathcal{C} , and let A', C' be the intersections of the line (AC) with \mathcal{C} . Clearly $[B'D'] \subset [BD]$ and $[AC] \subseteq [A'C']$. Note that

$$\angle A'B'C' + \angle C'D'A' > \angle ABC + \angle CDA$$

Besides, the quadrilateral A'B'C'D' is inscribed in the circle \mathcal{C} so:

$$\angle A'B'C' + \angle C'D'A' = \angle B'C'D' + \angle D'A'B' = 180^{\circ}.$$

Hence the contradiction: $180^{\circ} = \angle A'B'C' + \angle C'D'A' > 180^{\circ}$.