Quantum computation: lecture 3

· Deutsch's model of quantum circuits

· Deutsch's problem

· Classical method of resolution

· Quantum algorithm:

Deutsch-Josza's algorithm

Deutsch's model of quantum circuits

As already mentioned, every circuit can

be represented by a single unitary operation:

n input $|\psi_{in}\rangle - |u| - |\psi_{out}\rangle = ||\psi_{in}\rangle$ in autput qubits $\in \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$ $\in \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$ $\in \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$

and the extraction of information happens

Via a measurement in $\{[x_1...x_n], x_1...x_n\in\{0,n\}\}$

with $prob(|x_1...x_n>) = |< x_1...x_n | 4/our > |^2$

Why to use quantum circuits?

1) To simulate quantum physical

systems (not air aim)

2) To solve efficiently classical problems involving a Boolean function f: Eq.13ⁿ- Eq.13ⁿ

= air aim!

3 generic stages

1. Any report of f: {0,13" -> {0,13" is a

sequence of n bits x1...xn, which

can be encoded into a quantum state

1x1...xn). We will canstruct superpositions

of states $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x_1 \cdots x_n \in \{0,1\}} \sigma_{x_1 \cdots x_n} |x_1 \cdots x_n\rangle$



2. Unitary operation U^(f) performed an 14>

$U^{(f)}|\psi\rangle = \sum_{z_1,...,z_n \in \{q_1\}} \alpha_{z_1,...,z_n} U^{(f)}|\psi\rangle$

by lucearity.

3. Measurement: act came = 1x1...xn>

with probability |< x. .. zn | u(f) | 4>12;

should be high (or at least so) for states

1x1...x.) corresponding to the solution of the pl.

Here are two assumptions: (without loss of) generality

- initial state = 10,0,...,0>
- . final measurement performed in the

These assumptions come sometimes with

some additional cost an circuit complexity.

Remark: Circuit complexity = width x depth



Finally, before we proceed to the

study of air first quantum algorithm,

let us introduce the quantum "oracle"

gate le associated to a Boolean function f: {0,13n -> {0,13

(ve cansider the case m=1 here, but) Huis can be generalized

Observe first that unless N=1 & f is bijechve,

the evaluation of a Boolean function f

- is in general irreversible.
- A reversible way of evaluating a function
- f is obtained by augmenting the memory

with an "ancilla" bit:

 $f(x_1...x_n,y)=(x_1...x_n,y\oplus f(x_1...x_n))$



Up is unitary. Indeed, for all basis elements:

(24'-24'18 cy'l Upt Up 124-24) (1)

 $= ((x_1' - x_1') \otimes (y' \otimes f(x_1' - x_1'))) ((x_1 - x_1) \otimes (y \otimes f(x_1 - x_1)))$

 $= \langle x_{i} | x_{i} \rangle \dots \langle x_{n} | x_{n} \rangle \langle y \in f(x_{i} \cdot x_{i}) | y \in f(x_{i} \cdot x_{i}) \\ = \delta_{x_{i}} x_{n} \longrightarrow = \delta_{x_{n}} x_{n} \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{x_{i}} x_{i}$ $= \delta_{z_1'z_1} \dots \delta_{z_n'z_n'} \langle y' \in f(z_1 \dots z_n) | y \in f(z_1 \dots z_n) \rangle$ = $\delta_{y'y'} fr every f! #$

Deutsch's problem

· We are given a Boolean function f: Eq13"-> Eq13

and an <u>cracle</u> capable of evaluating f(x)

for a given x at no cost.

· On top of that, we are informed that

seither f is constant, i.e. f(x)=fly) txy={91}

(or f is balanced, i.e., f(x) = 1 for half of the x's (f(x) = 0 for the other half

The aim of the problem is to

decide between these two alternatives

with the least possible number of calls

to the cracke.

Note: We do not know anything a priori

about the structure of f; just

the above information.

Classical method of resolution

Call the cracle in k different points $\chi^{(1)} - \chi^{(k)} \in \{0,1\}^n$: - if $f(x^{(h)}) = \dots = f(x^{(k)})$, declare "f is constant" - otherwise, declare "f is balanced" In the worst case, $k = 2^{n-1} + 1$ calls to the oracle are needed (> half the total # of points) in order to obtain a 100% correct answer.

Probabilistic algorithm (still classical)

- Fix $k \ge 1$ & draw k id points $x^{(1)} \cdot x^{(k)} \in \{0,1\}^n$
- (with possible replacement). Again:
 - if $f(x^{(n)}) = \dots = f(x^{(k)})$, de clare f is constant "
 - otherwise, de clare "f & balanced"
- The probability of making an error (which
 - can only happen in the first case) is $\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}$,

So can be made as small as wanted in Bh) calls.





Initial state: $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes ... \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$ n qubits \hat{q} qubit

= 10,0,...,0> (1)

An extra "ancilla" qubit is added to the uput

to allow for computations later.

Stage 1: superposition of states $|\psi_1\rangle = H^{\otimes(n+1)} |\psi_0\rangle$

= H lo> & ... & H lo> & H la>

<u>Note:</u> $H(0) = \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{z_1 \in S_0 + S_1} |x_n\rangle$, $H(1) = \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $= \left(\frac{\psi_{1}}{1} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\chi_{1} \in \frac{5}{2} g_{1}} |\chi_{1} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\chi_{n} \in \frac{5}{2} g_{n}} |\chi_{n} \rangle \otimes \frac{|g_{2} + w}{\sqrt{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{2^{w_{1}}} \sum_{\chi_{1} \cdots \chi_{n} \in \frac{5}{2} g_{1}} |\chi_{1}, \dots, \chi_{n} \rangle \otimes \frac{|g_{2} - |g_{2}|}{\sqrt{2}}$

Stage 2: passage through the quantum oracle

Recall Up((x,...,x,) & 1y>) = |x,...x, > & 1y&f(x,...z,)>

 $|\psi_2\rangle = U_{f}|\psi_1\rangle$ $= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{x_1..x_n \in \{0,1\}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(| x_1...x_n > \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$ $= \frac{1}{2^{n}h} \sum_{x_1...x_n \in \{0,1\}} |x_1...x_n > \& \frac{|f(x_1..x_n) > - |\overline{f(x_1..x_n)}|}{\sqrt{2}}$



 $\int_{0} |\psi_{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{\chi_{1}..\chi_{n} \in \{q,1\}}^{q} \frac{f(\chi_{1}..\chi_{n})}{|\chi_{1}..\chi_{n}\rangle \otimes \frac{|o\rangle - h\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$

The action of Up on the ancilla qubit,

which is in a superposition state, has

now been transferred to the first

n qubits!

Note: From now on, we could forget the ancilla gubit...

Stage 3: "analysis"

 $|\psi_3\rangle = (H^{\otimes n} \otimes I) |\psi_2\rangle$

 $=\frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\sum_{x_{1}\cdots x_{n}\in\{0,1\}}^{(-1)}\binom{f(x_{1}\cdots x_{n})}{H^{\otimes n}}\frac{H^{\otimes n}[x_{1}\cdots x_{n}]\otimes \frac{I_{0})-I_{1}}{\sqrt{z}}}{\sqrt{z}}$

*=H12,>&...&H12,>

Note: $H(x_1) = \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_1} |h\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{z_1 \in \{0,1\}}^{z_1,2} (-1)^{z_1} |z_1\rangle$

 $S_{0} = \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{z_{1} \cdots z_{n} \in \{0,1\}} \frac{z_{1} x_{1} + \dots + z_{n} x_{n}}{(-1)^{z_{1} x_{1} + \dots + z_{n} x_{n}}} |z_{1} \cdots z_{n} \rangle$

Gathering everything together, we obtain:





$|Q_{00...0}|^{2} = \left|\frac{1}{2^{n}}\sum_{z_{1}..z_{n}\in\{0,1\}}^{2}\left(-1\right)^{\frac{2}{3}\left(z_{1}...z_{n}\right)}\right|^{2}$ $= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f \text{ is constant} \\ 0 & \text{if } f \text{ is balanced} \end{cases}$

So: if the artput state is 100...0>, f is constant; Otherwise, f is balanced. (and this with a single call to the quantum oracle)



. In an actual quantum computer, there

is noise, so the probability of a

Correct answer is not 100%.

. The problem is a toy problem,

as the full knavledge of f is

required to build the gate Up...