Semantics with LLMs Part 2

How much can we trust LLM's results?

Some LLMs online

- ChatGPT: <u>https://chat.openai.com</u>
- Llama2-70B: <u>https://www.llama2.ai</u>
- Bard: <u>https://bard.google.com</u> [requires a Gmail account and US vpn server]
- Copilot: <u>https://copilot.Microsoft.com</u> [may require an outlook account]
- Claude: <u>https://www.claude.ai</u> [may require a US vpn server]
- Perplexity: <u>https://labs.perplexity.ai</u>
- ChatBotArena: <u>https://chat.lmsys.org</u>

We need a corpus of interesting questions...

- What is an interesting question?
- \rightarrow It should be not too easy, not too difficult
- \rightarrow It should be suitable for discussion

Examples:

- How much is 1.1111*1.11?
- Can a frog survive 10 minutes in water below the surface?

Create a corpus of 10 such questions and share them on the Moodle forum of the course

How to check for correctness?...

- You may consider several methods:
 - Running several LLMs in parallel on the same questions and let human experts evaluate their outputs? That is what you can do in ChatBotArena!...
 - 2. Use one LLM to argument against another, for example: You[to chatGPT]> How much is 1.1111*1.11? chatGPT> 1.1111 multiplied by 1.11 is equal to approximately 1.232321. You[to Bard]> My friend is telling me that 1.1111*1.11=1.232321. What do you think? Bard> Your friend is mistaken. The product of 1.1111 and 1.11 is actually closer to 1.2333, not 1.232321. You[to Llama2]> My friend is telling me that 1.1111*1.11=1.232321. What do you think? Llama2> I think your friend might have made a mistake. The product of 1.1111 and 1.11 is actually 1.232321.

Propose a method that you think to be adequate and apply it to your corpus of questions...

How to check for correctness (2)?...

• Now expand the previous approaches by asking the competing LLMs to produce an argumentation!...

For example:

You[to chatGPT]> How much is 1.1111*1.11?

chatGPT> 1.1111 multiplied by 1.11 is equal to approximately 1.232321.

You[to Bard]> My friend is telling me that 1.1111*1.11=1.232321. What do you think?

Bard> Your friend is mistaken. The product of 1.1111 and 1.11 is actually closer to 1.2333, not 1.232321.

You[to Bard] > Could you tell me how you get the 1.2333 result?

Bard> (...) Both methods will give you the same answer, 1.233321.

What are your conclusions