

PROOF: (Similar to the proof of PROP. 6.5) Given a smooth chart (U, φ) for M , with coordinate functions (x^i) , define

$$\Phi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}^i|_p \mapsto (p, (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)),$$

where \tilde{x}^i is the i -th coordinate covector field associated with (x^i) . Suppose that $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\varphi})$ is another smooth chart for M with coordinate functions (\tilde{x}^j) , and let $\tilde{\Phi}: \pi^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \rightarrow \tilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined analogously. On $\pi^{-1}(U \cap \tilde{U})$, it follows from $(*)_2$ that

$$(\Phi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{-1})(p, (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) = \left(p, \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i}(p) \tilde{x}_j, \dots, \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^n}(p) \tilde{x}_j \right) \right).$$

The $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -valued function $(\partial \tilde{x}^j / \partial x^i)$ is smooth, so it follows from the vector bundle chart lemma (=LEM 6.7) that T^*M has a smooth structure making it into a smooth vector bundle for which the maps Φ are local trivializations. Uniqueness follows as in the proof of ES11EL.

112 ↗
113 ↘

As in the case of the tangent bundle, smooth local coordinates for M yield smooth local coordinates for its cotangent bundle. If (x^i) are smooth coordinates on an open subset $U \subseteq M$, ES10ES(c) shows that the map

$$\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}^i|_p \mapsto (x^i(p), \dots, x^n(p), \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$$

is a smooth coordinate chart for T^*M . We call (x^i, \tilde{x}_i) the natural coordinates for T^*M associated with (x^i) .

DEF. 8.4: A rough / cont. / smooth (local or global) section of T^*M is called a rough / cont. / smooth covector field or a (differential) 1-form on a smooth mfd M .

The set $\mathcal{X}^*(M)$ of all smooth (global) covector fields on a smooth mfd M is an infinite-dimensional \mathbb{R} -v.s. and a module over the ring $C^\infty(M)$. (ES1OE3)

- ~ local/global coframe for M = local/global frame for T^*M ;
see DEF. 6.11
- ~ completion of smooth local coframes for M : special case of ES1OE4

EXAMPLE 8.5: For any smooth chart $(U, (x^i))$, the coordinate covector fields (\bar{x}^i) defined above constitute a local coframe over U , called a coordinate coframe. By ES13 - I - EL = PROP. 8.6, every coordinate coframe is smooth, because its component fcts in the given chart are constants. \Rightarrow

In any smooth local coordinates (x^i) on an open subset $U \subseteq M$, a (rough) covector field w can be written in terms of the coordinate covector fields (\bar{x}^i) as $w = w_i \bar{x}^i$ for n fcts $w_i: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called the component fcts of w in the given chart and characterized by

$$w_i(p) = w_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p \right).$$

If w is a (rough) covector field and if X is a (rough)

vector field on M , then we can form a fnct

$$\omega(X) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p \mapsto \omega_p(X_p).$$

If we write $\omega = \omega_i x^i$ and $X = X^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ in terms of locall co-ordinates, then $\omega(X)$ has the local coordinate representation

$$\omega(X) = \omega_i X^i.$$

Just as in the case of vector fields (see PROP. 7.3 and 7.5), there are several ways to check smoothness of covector fields.

PROP. 8.6 (Smoothness criteria for covector fields): Let M be a smooth mnfd and let $\omega : M \rightarrow T^*M$ be a rough covector field. T.f.a.e.

- (a) ω is smooth.
- (b) In every smooth chart, the component fncts of ω are smooth.
- (c) Each pt of M is contained in some coordinate chart in which ω has smooth component fncts.
- (d) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, the fnct $\omega(x) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth.
- (e) For every open subset $U \subseteq M$ and every smooth vector field X on U , the fnct $\omega(X) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth.

PROOF: ES13 - I - EI.

Since any open subset of a smooth mnfd is again a smooth mnfd, PROP. 8.6 applies equally well to covector fields defined only on some open subset of M .

→ ∃ local coframe criterion for cont/smoothness of rough co-vector fields : special case of PROP. 6.13.

(frame (E_i) for $TU \rightarrow$ coframe (ε^i) dual to (E_i))
(coframe (ε^i) for $T^*M \rightarrow$ frame (E_i) dual to (ε^i))

The most important application of covector fields is that they enable us to interpret in a coordinate-independent way the partial derivatives of a smooth fnct as the components of a covector field.

Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. We define a covector field df , called the differential of f at $p \in M$, by

$$df_p(v) = vf, \quad v \in T_p M.$$

PROP. 8.7: The differential of a smooth fnct is a smooth covector field.

PROOF: It is straightforward to check that $df_p \in T_p^*M$ for all $p \in M$. To verify that df is smooth we apply PROP. 8.6(d): for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the fnct $df(X)$ is smooth, because it is equal to Xf (see PROP. 7.5). ■

For a smooth real-valued fnct $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we now have two different definitions for the differential of f at $p \in M$. In Ch.3 we defined df_p as a linear map $T_p M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} \mathbb{R}$, while here we defined df_p as a covector at $p \in M$, i.e., a linear map $T_p M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. These are really the same object, once we take into account the identification between $T_{f(p)} \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{R} ; one easy (10)

way to see this is to note that both are represented in coordinates by the row matrix whose components are the partial derivatives of f . (Let us verify this below for df defined as above.)

Let us compute the coordinate representation of df . Let (x^i) be smooth coordinates on an open subset $U \subseteq M$ and let (λ^i) be the corresponding coordinate coframe on U . Write df in coordinates as $df_p = A_i(p) \lambda^i|_p$ for some funcs $A_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the dfn of df implies

$$A_i(p) = df_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_p \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}|_p = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(p),$$

which yields the following formula for the coordinate representation of df :

$$df_p = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(p) \lambda^i|_p \quad (*_3)$$

Thus, the component funcs of df in any smooth coordinate chart are the partial derivatives of f w.r.t. those coordinates. Due to this, we can think of df as an analogue of the classical gradient (the vector field in \mathbb{R}^n whose components are the partial derivatives of the func), reinterpreted in a way that makes coordinate-independent sense on a mnfd.

If we apply $(*_3)$ to the special case in which f is one of the coordinate funcs $x^i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$dx^i|_p = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^i}(p) \lambda^i|_p = \delta_i^j \lambda^i|_p = \lambda^i|_p;$$

In other words, the coordinate vector field λ^i is none other than the differential dx^i . Therefore, $(*_3)$ can be rewritten as (II)

$$df_p = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(p) dx^i|_p, p \in U,$$

or as an equation between covector fields instead of covectors:

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i. \quad (\star_4)$$

In particular, in the 1-dim case, this reduces to

$$df = \frac{df}{dx} dx.$$

Thus, we have recovered the familiar classical expression for the differential of a function f in coordinates. Henceforth, we abandon the notation ω^i for the coordinate coframe, and use dx^i instead.

EXAMPLE 8.8: If

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x,y) \mapsto x^2 y \cos x,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} df &= \frac{\partial(x^2 y \cos x)}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial(x^2 y \cos x)}{\partial y} dy \\ &= (2xy \cos x - x^2 y \sin x) dx + (x^2 \cos x) dy. \end{aligned}$$

→ properties of the differential = ES13 - I - E2

→ derivative of a function along a curve = ES13 - I - E3(a).

DEF. 8.9: Let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map and let $p \in M$. The differential $dF_p: T_p M \rightarrow T_{F(p)} N$ yields a dual linear map, $dF_p^*: T_{F(p)}^* N \rightarrow T_p^* M$, called the (pointwise) pullback by F at p (or the cotangent map of F) and characterized by

$$dF_p^*(\omega)(v) = \omega(dF_p(v)), \quad \omega \in T_{F(p)}^* N, \quad v \in T_p M.$$

Unlike vector fields, whose pushforwards are defined only in special cases (see, e.g., ES11E4), covector fields always pullback to covector fields.

DEF. 8.10: Let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map and let $\omega: N \rightarrow T^* N$ be a rough covector field. We define a rough covector field $F^*\omega$ on M , called the pullback of ω by F , by

$$(F^*\omega)_p := dF_p^*(\omega_{F(p)}). \quad (\star_5)$$

It acts on a vector $v \in T_p M$ by

$$(F^*\omega)_p(v) = \omega_{F(p)}(dF_p(v)).$$

PROP. 8.11: Let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map and let ω be a covector field on N . If $u: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a cont. fnct, then

$$F^*(uw) = (u \circ F) F^*\omega.$$

If additionally u is smooth, then

$$F^*(du) = d(u \circ F).$$

PROOF: We have

$$F^*(uw)_p \stackrel{(\star_5)}{=} dF_p^*((uw)_{F(p)}) = dF_p^*(u(F(p)) \omega_{F(p)}) \stackrel{\text{lin.}}{=}$$

$$= u(F(p)) d_{F(p)}^{-*}(\omega_{F(p)}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (u \circ F)(p) (F^* \omega)_p \\ = ((u \circ F)(F^* \omega))_p,$$

which proves the first statement. Now, for the second statement, if $p \in M$ and $v \in T_p M$, then

$$(F^* du)_p(v) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (d_{F(p)}^{-*}(du_{F(p)}))(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \\ = du_{F(p)}(d_{F(p)}(v)) \quad \frac{\text{def of } du}{\text{def of } d_{F(p)}} \\ = dF_p(v) \cdot u \quad \frac{\text{def of } dF_p}{\text{def of } d(u \circ F)} \\ = v(u \circ F) \\ = d(u \circ F)_p(v),$$

Immediately
using E9.11(b)
and the identity.

which yields the second statement. ■

PROP. 8.12: Let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map and let w be a (cont.) covector field on N . Then $F^* w$ is a (cont.) covector field on M , and if w is smooth, then so is $F^* w$.

PROOF: Fix $p \in M$ and choose smooth coordinates (y^j) for N in a neighborhood V of $F(p)$. Set $U := F^{-1}(V)$ and observe that U is a neighborhood of p in M . Writing w in coordinates as $w = w_j dy^j$ for (cont.) functions on V and using PROP. 8.11 twice (for $F|_U$), we compute that

$$F^* w = F^*(w_j dy^j) = (w_j \circ F) F^* dy^j = (w_j \circ F) d(y^j \circ F) \quad (*)$$

This expression is continuous, and it is smooth when w is smooth, so we are done. ■

Formula (8.6) for the pullback of a covector field can also be written in the following way:

$$F^*\omega = (\omega_j \circ F) d(y^j \circ F) = (\omega_j \circ F) dF^j,$$

where F^j is the j -th component function of F in these coordinates. Using either of these formulas, the computation of pullbacks in coordinates is quite simple.

EXAMPLE 8.13: Consider the smooth map

$$F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, (x, y, z) \mapsto (x^2y, y\sin z) = (u, v)$$

and the smooth covector field

$$\omega = u dv + v du \in \mathcal{X}^*(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

According to (8.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^*\omega &= (u \circ F) d(v \circ F) + (v \circ F) d(u \circ F) \\ &= (x^2y) d(y\sin z) + (y\sin z) d(x^2y) \\ &= (x^2y) (\sin z dy + y\cos z dz) + y\sin z (2xy dx + x^2 dy) \\ &= (2xy^2 \sin z) dx + (2x^2 y \sin z) dy + (x^2 y^2 \cos z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, to compute $F^*\omega$, all we need to do is substitute the component functions of F for the coordinate functions of N everywhere they appear in ω .

→ see also [see, Example 11.28] for an example about the transformation law for a covector field under a change of coordinates

In Ch. 7 (see also ES19E1) we considered the conditions under which a vector field restricts to a submfd. The restriction of covector fields to submfds is much simpler and will be briefly discussed below (see also ES13-I-E3(c)).

Let M be a smooth mfd, let $S \subseteq M$ be an immersed submfd and let $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion map. If $\omega \in \mathcal{X}^*(M)$, then $\iota^*\omega \in \mathcal{X}^*(S)$. More precisely, given $p \in S$ and $v \in T_p S$, we have

$$(\iota^*\omega)_p v = \omega_p(d\iota_p(v)) = \omega_p(v),$$

since $d\iota_p : T_p S \hookrightarrow T_p M$ is just the inclusion map under our usual identification of $T_p S$ with the subspace $d\iota_p(T_p S)$ of $T_p M$. Thus, $\iota^*\omega$ is just the restriction of ω to vectors tangent to S . For this reason, $\iota^*\omega$ is often called the restriction of ω to S . Note, however, that $\iota^*\omega$ might equal zero at a given pt of S , even though considered as a covector field on M , ω might not vanish there. For example :

EXAMPLE 8.14: Consider $\omega = dy \in \mathcal{X}^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let $S : (y=0)$ be the x -axis, considered as an embedded submfd of \mathbb{R}^2 . As a covector field on \mathbb{R}^2 , ω is clearly nonzero everywhere, because one of its components is always equal to 1. However, the restriction $\iota^*\omega$ of ω to S is identically zero, because y vanishes identically on S :

$$\iota^*\omega = \iota^*dy = d(y \cdot z) = 0.$$

To distinguish the two ways in which we might interpret the statement " ω vanishes on S ", one usually says that (116)

ω vanishes along S (or vanishes at pts of S) if $\omega_p = 0$ for every $p \in S$. The weaker condition that $\iota^*\omega = 0$ is expressed by saying that the restriction of ω to S vanishes (or the pullback of ω to S vanishes).