
Homework 3 Solution
Traitement Quantique de l'Information

Exercise 1 *Polarization observable and measurement principle*

1) We first check that $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \alpha | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle = \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \alpha \rangle = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\alpha}^2 &= |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | = |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha | = \Pi_{\alpha} \\ \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}}^2 &= |\alpha_{\perp}\rangle \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\perp} | = |\alpha_{\perp}\rangle \langle \alpha_{\perp} | = \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} \\ \Pi_{\alpha} \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} &= |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\perp} | = 0 \\ \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} \Pi_{\alpha} &= |\alpha_{\perp}\rangle \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | = 0\end{aligned}$$

2)

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle \theta | \alpha \rangle|^2 &= \langle \theta | \alpha \rangle \langle \theta | \alpha \rangle^* = \langle \theta | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | \theta \rangle = \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha} | \theta \rangle, \\ |\langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle|^2 &= \langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle \langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle^* = \langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \theta \rangle = \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} | \theta \rangle\end{aligned}$$

3) The probabilities are

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Prob}(p = +1) &= |\langle \alpha | \theta \rangle|^2 = |\cos \alpha \cos \theta + \sin \alpha \sin \theta|^2 = (\cos(\theta - \alpha))^2 \\ \text{Prob}(p = -1) &= |\langle \alpha_{\perp} | \theta \rangle|^2 = |-\sin \alpha \cos \theta + \cos \alpha \sin \theta|^2 = (\sin(\theta - \alpha))^2\end{aligned}$$

and they sum to 1,

$$\text{Prob}(p = +1) + \text{Prob}(p = -1) = (\cos(\theta - \alpha))^2 + (\sin(\theta - \alpha))^2 = 1$$

4) The expectation is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[p] &= (+1)\text{Prob}(p = +1) + (-1)\text{Prob}(p = -1) \\ &= (\cos(\theta - \alpha))^2 - (\sin(\theta - \alpha))^2 \\ &= \cos(2(\theta - \alpha))\end{aligned}$$

and the variance is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(p) &= \mathbb{E}[p^2] - (\mathbb{E}[p])^2 \\ &= 1 - (\mathbb{E}[p])^2 \\ &= (\cos(\theta - \alpha))^2 - (\sin(\theta - \alpha))^2 \\ &= 1 - (\cos(2(\theta - \alpha)))^2 \\ &= (\sin(2(\theta - \alpha)))^2\end{aligned}$$

In fact they should match with the computation in Dirac notation because

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \theta | P_\alpha | \theta \rangle &= \langle \theta | ((+1)\Pi_\alpha + (-1)\Pi_{\alpha_\perp}) | \theta \rangle \\
&= (+1) \langle \theta | \Pi_\alpha | \theta \rangle + (-1) \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha_\perp} | \theta \rangle \\
&= (+1)\text{Prob}(p = +1) + (-1)\text{Prob}(p = -1) \\
&= \text{E}[p]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \theta | P_\alpha^2 | \theta \rangle &= \langle \theta | ((+1)\Pi_\alpha + (-1)\Pi_{\alpha_\perp})^2 | \theta \rangle \\
&= \langle \theta | (\Pi_\alpha^2 - \Pi_\alpha \Pi_{\alpha_\perp} - \Pi_{\alpha_\perp} \Pi_\alpha + \Pi_{\alpha_\perp}^2) | \theta \rangle \\
&= \langle \theta | (\Pi_\alpha + \Pi_{\alpha_\perp}) | \theta \rangle \\
&= (+1)^2 \langle \theta | \Pi_\alpha | \theta \rangle + (-1)^2 \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha_\perp} | \theta \rangle \\
&= (+1)^2 \text{Prob}(p = +1) + (-1)^2 \text{Prob}(p = -1) \\
&= \text{E}[p^2]
\end{aligned}$$

thereby giving $\text{E}[p] = \langle \theta | P_\alpha | \theta \rangle$ and $\text{Var}(p) = \text{E}[p^2] - (\text{E}[p])^2 = \langle \theta | P_\alpha^2 | \theta \rangle - \langle \theta | P_\alpha | \theta \rangle^2$.

Exercise 2 Product versus entangled states

We use the conventional correspondance $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$(\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle) \otimes (x |0\rangle + y |1\rangle) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x & \alpha y \\ \beta x & \beta y \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus a product state of two qubits is a rank-one matrix. So for a general state $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} |ij\rangle$, a simple condition is to check if the matrix $A = (\alpha_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1}$ is of rank one, that is to say (and because $A \neq 0$), if its determinant is 0: $\det(A) = 0$, that is $\alpha_{00}\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{01}\alpha_{10}$

1. product state ($\det(A) = 0$), normalized
2. entangled ($\det(A) = -2$), normalized
3. entangled $\det(A) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 6}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{36}} \neq 0$, not normalized
4. all entangled ($\det(A) \neq 0$ for all of them), normalized
5. we find $\det(A) = \epsilon$, so only a product state for $\epsilon = 0$, entangled otherwise, normalized in all cases
6. Let's assume $|\psi\rangle = (x |0\rangle + y |1\rangle) \otimes (u |0\rangle + v |1\rangle) \otimes (s |0\rangle + t |1\rangle)$, then we should have $xut = xvs = yuv = 1$ and all the other products are 0 otherwise. For instance: $yut = 0$. However, because $xut = 1$, then $ut \neq 0$, and because $yuv = 1$ then $y \neq 0$, therefore $yut \neq 0$. So our assumption is wrong and the state is entangled, and also normalized
7. entangled for similar reasons, normalized

8. product state as $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^3}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)^{\otimes 3}$, and normalized

Exercise 3 *Unitary transformations*

- 1) The operator is $U = e^{i\omega t}$, thus $U^\dagger = e^{-i\omega t}$ and it is straightforward to check that $U^\dagger U = U U^\dagger = 1$. In this (trivial) case the unitary time evolution matrix is a 1×1 matrix.
- 2) We find $H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and $H|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$, so in fact $H|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + (-1)^i |1\rangle)$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore, we have:

$$\langle j|H^\dagger H|i\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle 0| + (-1)^j \langle 1|)(|0\rangle + (-1)^i |1\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^{i+j}) = \delta_{ij}$$

with δ_{ij} the kroenecker symbol.

- 3) Similarly, we find $X|i\rangle = |i \oplus 1\rangle$ thus $\langle j|X^\dagger X|i\rangle = \langle j \oplus 1|i \oplus 1\rangle = \delta_{ij}$
- 4) Step by step:

$$\begin{aligned} (U_1 \otimes U_2)^\dagger (U_1 \otimes U_2) &= (U_1^\dagger \otimes U_2^\dagger)(U_1 \otimes U_2) & (1) \\ &= (U_1^\dagger U_1) \otimes (U_2^\dagger U_2) & (2) \\ &= I \otimes I & (3) \\ &= I & (4) \end{aligned}$$

- 5) It is straightforward to check that: $\text{CNOT}(|i, j\rangle) = |i, j \oplus i\rangle$. Thus:

$$\langle k, l|\text{CNOT}^\dagger \text{CNOT}|i, j\rangle = \langle k, l \oplus k|i, i \oplus j\rangle = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{(l \oplus k), (i \oplus j)} = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{l,j}$$

First let $|\psi_1\rangle = (H|i\rangle) \otimes |j\rangle$, we have using question 2:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = (H|i\rangle) \otimes |j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + (-1)^i |1\rangle) \otimes |j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0, j\rangle + (-1)^i |1, j\rangle)$$

Therefore using question 5:

$$\text{CNOT}|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0, j\rangle + (-1)^i |1, j \oplus 1\rangle) = |\beta_{ij}\rangle$$

Because H and I are both unitary using question 2, then $U \otimes I$ is unitary using question 4. Then because CNOT is unitary (question 5), using the fact that the set of unitary matrices equipped with the product of matrices is a group, then $O = \text{CNOT} \cdot (H \otimes I)$ is also unitary, hence β_{ij} forms an orthonormal basis as it is the image of an orthonormal basis with the unitary operator O .

Exercise 4 *Interferometer with an atom on the ray*

1) The matrices in Dirac notation are

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle H| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle V| + |\text{abs}\rangle \langle \text{abs}|$$

$$R = |H\rangle \langle V| + |V\rangle \langle H| + |\text{abs}\rangle \langle \text{abs}|.$$

To find $U = SARS$ we proceed by steps:

$$RS = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle H| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle V| + |\text{abs}\rangle \langle \text{abs}|,$$

$$ARS = |H\rangle \langle \text{abs}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle H| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\text{abs}\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\text{abs}\rangle \langle V|$$

and finally

$$U = SARS = \frac{1}{2} |H\rangle \langle H| + \frac{1}{2} |H\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle \text{abs}|$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} |V\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{2} |V\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle \text{abs}|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\text{abs}\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\text{abs}\rangle \langle V|.$$

2) As $SARS |H\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |H\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |V\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\text{abs}\rangle$, the probabilities of the three events are

$$\text{Prob}(D_1) = |\langle V | SARS |H\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\text{Prob}(D_2) = |\langle H | SARS |H\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\text{Prob}(\text{abs}) = |\langle \text{abs} | SARS |H\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

which sum to 1.

3) A legitimate matrix has to be unitary. The first matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not unitary because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq I.$$

The second matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

is unitary because

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I.$$

Thus the second matrix may model the absorption and reemission of the photon. Note also that this matrix acts like a Hadamard matrix on the subspace $\{|H\rangle, |\text{abs}\rangle\}$.