Teaching assistant Léa Damiano EPFL LPAC – MXH 147 lea.damiano@epfl.ch

Additional Content

Location MED 3 1119

Hints

How to evaluate a slope?

Perform a regression analysis on the data. Many different software packages can do it, generally by least squares fitting using optimization routines, such as the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm:

- Microsoft Excel provides the function LINREG (French: *DROITEREG*) which is suitable for linear regression, and can also easily be added to graphs.
- SciPy (python module) has multiple options available in its optimize submodule, such as lsq_linear or curve_fit.
- Gnuplot, with the command fit.
- ... and many more!

Data treatment and format

The pressure data given after the TP is the difference between measured pressure and atmospheric pressure P_{atm} at all acquisition times. It is given as a .csv file which can be opened and treated with MS Excel. The inlet pressure is given in column CH1, and time in columns Date&Time and ms.

How to assess flow front velocity?

The velocity v of the liquid flow front can be deduced from the measurements of positions x_i at times t_i . Since these are discrete measurements, velocity can be extracted by numerical (discrete) derivation procedures. You may for instance use forward, centered or backwards finite differences, which can then be compared to the theoretical values:

$$v_{i,\text{forward}} = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \qquad v_{i,\text{centered}} = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}}{t_{i+1} - t_{i-1}} \qquad v_{i,\text{backwards}} = \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{t_i - t_{i-1}} \tag{1}$$

Aspect of the pressure field



FIGURE 1: Idealized shape of the pressure field P(x) for impregnations performed at a constant inlet pressure (left) and constant flow rate (right).

If you want to go further...

Here, we will introduce some details and phenomena which were not discussed so far, but tend to complicate the modeling of liquid composite molding processes. These more advanced notions are not required in this TP, but may help you understand some limits of the simplified model we used here. Indeed, several physical effects have to be accounted for depending on materials and processing conditions.

Capillarity and flow front dynamics

When deformable/soft interfaces are present (2-phase flow – in general, liquid/gas interfaces), capillary forces appear. Capillarity depends on surface tension γ and on the curvature of these interfaces: a curved interface is then associated with a force. The force resulting from the mean curvature of the whole interface is then balanced at the triple line (junction with the solid phase), and forms a contact angle θ .

In LCM, the triple line is where liquid, gas and fibers meet (Figure 2). Within the tows, the specific surface of fibers is very high $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ to } 1 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3)$. Therefore, the total length of the triple line is large, and capillary forces tend to be highest in the tows.



FIGURE 2: Capillary forces in LCM

A simplified way to model the problem at the macroscopic scale is to consider that the liquid/gas interface is localized at one specific distance x(t) within the mould (think of a Dirac $\delta(x)$ function). In this case, the capillary forces should only apply there, and they can be summed up by a capillary pressure P_{cap} (Figure 3).



FIGURE 3: Simplified shape of the pressure field at a constant applied pressure, considering the capillary pressure P_{cap} .

Capillary pressure depends on the specific surface of fibers, surface tension, and contact angle. However, the value of the contact angle depends on the contact line velocity (*dynamic wetting*) [**cox1986**]. This means that capillary pressure is a dynamic quantity, which affects the pressure field differently when the resin velocity changes. Researchers relate these capillary phenomena to the nondimensional capillary number $Ca = \eta v / \gamma$, which represents the ratio of viscous and capillary forces, and is also proportional to the velocity.

For liquid composite molding processes, these considerations have important implications on parts quality. When the fluid velocity v is low, capillary pressure tends to favor the flow within the tows (see Figure 3: the more "negative" value of $P_{\rm cap}$ increases the pressure gradient, and thus the velocity according to Darcy's law). In such situations, lateral flows may trap large bubbles between the tows. When these bubbles are not removed, they end up forming voids in the composite part. The opposite phenomenon occurs at large flow velocities/capillary numbers: small voids are then trapped in the tows. Figure 3 shows the relation between void content in the parts and capillary number. One finds a range of Ca which minimizes voids; unfortunately, this value is difficult to predict and depends on the material properties and flow conditions. The relation between contact line velocity and contact angle is still not well elucidated, as it implies multiple length scales and various physical phenomena [snoeijer2013, bonn2009]. These factors explain why this topic is still an active research subject [michaud2016, salvatori2018a, teixido2022].



FIGURE 4: Relation between Ca ($\propto v$) and void content, and the associated phenomena

Saturation and shape of the flow front



FIGURE 5: Example of a pressure field shape with a partially-saturated area at the front

In the previous section, we assumed that the flow front should be modeled as a sharp interface. This is rarely (if ever) observed in experiments: the flow front itself is diffuse, and not necessarily straight across the width of the mould. This can be modeled with the notion of *saturation S*, which is the ratio of available space occupied by the liquid. Figure 5 shows how a diffuse flow front results in the smearing of the saturation profile.

Experiments have shown that the areas partially saturated in resin are associated with concave or convex pressure fields [**park2011a**]. The concavity or convexity is hypothesized to stem from capillarity. Depending on the flow conditions, capillary pressure can either help or hinder the resin flow. At mesoscopic scales, the link between capillarity and the spatial extent of the flow front can also be understood by looking at the schematics in Figure 4: capillarity is responsible for staggered flow front positions within and between the tows. Macroscopically, this results in an more smeared out saturation profile.

When capillary effects are neglected by models, their influence tends to be (incorrectly) reported on permeability. As such, the notion of *unsaturated permeability* can be found in the literature. This TP shows how this modeling shortcoming leads to two different measured values of permeability.

Multiphase flow approach

So far, we have presented idealized situations where the resin fills the entire available space between the fibers. In most cases however, air pockets are trapped and affect the flow. Other modeling approaches try to consider that the two fluid phases can be present over the whole domain. This two-phase flow approach, which is popular in earth and soil science, accounts for the possible presence of the two fluids at every location in the porous medium by using the saturation S_{α} in each phase α . As an extension of Darcy's law, it is a macroscopic model which does not describe the details of the flow, but involves averaged values.



FIGURE 6: Xray images of fabric impregnation (left) and corresponding saturation profiles (right) [castro2021].

In this family of models, permeability depends on the local saturation of each fluid. Therefore, the concept of *relative permeability* k_r is often used. The models also require additional closure relations between saturation, capillary pressure and relative permeabilities in order to be well-posed. A general formulation is given in Equation 2, which must be written for each phase α .

$$\begin{cases} \phi S_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} = -\frac{k_{r,\alpha} K}{\eta_{\alpha}} \nabla P_{\alpha} \\ \frac{\partial \phi S_{\alpha}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\phi S_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}) \\ S_{\alpha} = f_2(P_{cap}) = f_2(P_{\beta} - P_{\alpha}) \\ k_{r,\alpha} = f_1(S_{\alpha}) \end{cases}$$
(2)

This modeling approach still comes with different issues, such as the physical meaning and well-posedness of some terms and relations. For instance, it has been shown that they do not account well for dynamic effects. There are also different semi-empirical models for expressing the hydraulic relations between S_{α} , $k_{r,\alpha}$ and P_{cap} , hence the notation f_1 and f_2 given here.

Sensitivity to environmental conditions

Some materials show a dependence on environmental conditions such as humidity and temperature. This is particularly true for natural fibers, which are often used nowadays for composite manufacturing. These fibers can degrade quickly at low temperatures $(200 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$, which makes some processes hardly suitable. They also tend to be extremely hygrophilic, retaining moisture from air, and can also absorb water and resin during impregnation. This results in many potential issues, such as a variation of density, fiber swelling, poor adhesion or damage from sorption/desorption cycles. This also means that processes need to be adapted to optimize their use.



FIGURE 7: Cross-section of a flax yarn *a*) before and *b*) after water intake [**vo2020**]; right: evolution of flax density with the relative humidity of air at thermodynamic equilibrium [**rougier2021a**].

Models for permeability prediction

Permeability is actually a purely geometric quantity, and solely stems from the spatial configuration of the porous medium. In Darcy's law, it relates how this geometry induces viscous losses. Multiple models attempt to determine permeability by using mathematical descriptors of the porous medium: porosity, pore shape, pore tortuosity, connectivity... Due to the complexity of most porous media however, these quantities can only be estimated statistically [**bear1972**, **bodaghi2019**]. Some examples of commonly used models include the Kozeny-Carman model, Ergün's equation, and Gebart's model for fibrous media.



FIGURE 8: Permeability prediction via (*left*) numerical simulation in a micro-scale unit cell [**geoffre2022**] and (*right*) using Gebart's model [**gebart1992**].

Permeability can also be estimated from numerical simulations, by assessing how pressure and velocity vary in a Stokes flow across a representative portion of porous medium. These models are often quite costly in computational terms, and raise the question of what a representative elementary volume should be in each situation.

Compressibility of reinforcements

In the previous section, we discussed the geometric origin of permeability. This raises the question of how a geometric and/or topological modification of the porous medium would affect its permeability. It has implications on multiple stages of composites manufacturing,

and could for instance be included in macroscopic flow models to account for any eventual movement of the fibers (see Terzaghi's principle, Biot's tensor and poromechanics). Most often, it is considered that the fibers do not move during impregnation.

However most processes involve a *forming* step (often by closing a mold) on dry reinforcements. Depending on the details of this forming process (applied force, displacement, creep, cycling, dynamic loading...), multiple geometric configurations can be obtained, and therefore the preform permeability can be marginally controlled. Being among the most common and influential modes of deformation, compression has been especially studied (Figure 9).



FIGURE 9: Evolution of the compressive stress σ with V_f with various flax and glass fabrics [rougier2021a].

The mechanics of fibrous media thus constitutes an active research topic. It can be studied by direct experiments or numerical simulations (Figure 10), and while several theoretical models exist, they tend to be limited to very specific configurations while making strong hypotheses.



FIGURE 10: 2D cross-sections of a 3D fabric from X-ray CT (a, b) and numerical simulations (c, d), before (a, c) and after compression (b, d) [**naouar2015**].

Other considerations

There are yet many more details and phenomena which could be explored for the proper modeling of LCM processes. Some examples include chemical and physical modifications of fibers (roughness, surface treatment), the influence of resin rheology (non-newtonian flows), the kinetics of polymerization during impregnation, heat transfers...