## Final exam: Solutions

## Exercise 1. Quiz. (20 points)

Answer each yes/no question below (2 pts) and provide a short justification for your answer (2 pts).
a) Does there exist a sequence of independent random variables ( $X_{n}, n \geq 1$ ) such that the sequence of $\sigma$-fields ( $\mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1$ ) defined as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{n}=\sigma\left(X_{1}+\ldots+X_{n}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

is a filtration, and moreover, $\mathcal{F}_{n} \neq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$ ? If yes, exhibit such a sequence of random variables; if no, explain why such a sequence cannot exist.
Answer: Yes. Consider for example $X_{n}$ independent with $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{n}=2^{-n}\right\}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{n}=0\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$.
b) Let $X, Z$ be two independent random variables such that $\mathbb{P}(\{X=+1\})=\mathbb{P}(\{X=+2\})=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\{Z=-1\})=\mathbb{P}(\{Z=0\})=\frac{1}{2}$. Let also $Y=X \cdot Z$. Does it hold that $t \mapsto F_{Y}(t)$ has four jumps (i.e., four values of $t$ where it is not continuous)? Justify your answer.
Answer: No. $F_{Y}$ has actually three jumps in $t=-2,-1,0$ (of sizes $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$, respectively).
c) Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ be two random variables such that $X_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right), X_{2} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(i t_{1} X_{1}+i t_{2} X_{2}\right)\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\left(\sigma_{1} t_{1}+\sigma_{2} t_{2}\right)^{2}}{2}\right), \quad \forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Does it hold that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent ? Justify your answer.
Answer: No. In this case, it holds that $X_{2}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{1}} X_{1}$. Independence would hold if the following inequality were true:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(i t_{1} X_{1}+i t_{2} X_{2}\right)\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\left(\sigma_{1} t_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2} t_{2}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)=\phi_{X_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot \phi_{X_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)
$$

d) Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ be two independent random variables taking values in $\{-1,+1\}$ and such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1}=+1\right\}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1}=-1\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. Does it hold that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{1}+X_{2}\right| \mid X_{2}\right)=\left|X_{2}\right| \quad ?
$$

Justify your answer.
Answer: Yes: $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{1}+X_{2}\right| \mid X_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(X_{2}\right)$, where $\varphi(y)=\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{1}+y\right|\right)=1=|y|$ for both $y=+1$ and $y=-1$.
e) Do there exist real numbers $p, q \in[0,1]$ and random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ taking values in $\{-1,+1\}$ satisfying the following properties ?

- $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, X_{3}=x_{3}\right\}\right)= \begin{cases}p & \text { if } x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3} \\ q & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
- $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{j} X_{k}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}$ for every $j, k \in\{1,2,3\}$ with $j<k$.

If yes, exhibit such random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$, along with the corresponding values of $p$ and $q$; if no, explain why they cannot exist.
Answer: No. Here is why: on the one hand, we must have $2 p+6 q=1$, so $p+3 q=\frac{1}{2}$; on the other hand, we must also have

$$
-\frac{1}{2}=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1}=X_{2}\right\}\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1} \neq X_{2}\right\}\right)=2 p+2 q-4 q=2(p-q) \quad \text { so } \quad p-q=-\frac{1}{4}
$$

Substracting the two equations, we obtain $4 q=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}=\frac{3}{4}$, so $q=\frac{3}{16}$, but then $p=q-\frac{1}{4}=-\frac{1}{16}$, which is impossible.
Note: There do exist identically distributed random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{j} X_{k}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}$ for every $j, k \in\{1,2,3\}$ with $j<k$, but these must take values in a larger set than $\{-1,+1\}$.

## Exercise 2. (15 points)

Note: For this exercise, the following facts might help:

- For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \cosh (s)=\frac{\exp (s)+\exp (-s)}{2}$ and $\cos (t)=\frac{\exp (i t)+\exp (-i t)}{2}$
- For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 1,\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^{n} \leq \exp (x)$; also, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^{n}=\exp (x)$

For a given value of $n \geq 1$, let $\left(X_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, X_{n}^{(n)}\right)$ be i.i.d. random variables such that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1}^{(n)}=+1\right\}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1}^{(n)}=-1\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{X_{1}^{(n)}=0\right\}\right)=1-\frac{1}{n}
$$

Let also $S_{n}=X_{1}^{(n)}+\ldots+X_{n}^{(n)}$.
a) Compute $\mathbb{E}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Answer: $\mathbb{E}\left(S_{n}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right)=n \cdot \frac{1}{n}=1$.
b) Using Chebyshev's inequality with $\varphi(x)=\exp (s x), s>0$, show that for every $c>0$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left|S_{n}\right| \geq c \log (n) \quad \text { infinitely often }\right\}\right)=0
$$

Hint: There is no need here to optimize over $s$ your upper bound on the probability: for each value of $c>0$, you just need to find an appropriate value of $s>0$ that allows to conclude.

Answer: Since $S_{n}$ is symmetrically distributed, it is enough to show $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{S_{n} \geq c \log (n)\right.\right.$ i.o. $\left.\}\right)=0$. Chebyshev's inequality gives

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{S_{n} \geq c \log (n)\right\}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(s S_{n}\right)\right)}{n^{c s}}
$$

and by the hint at the beginning of the exercise

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(s S_{n}\right)\right)=\left(1+\frac{\cosh (s)-1}{n}\right)^{n} \leq \exp (\cosh (s)-1)
$$

So

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{S_{n} \geq c \log (n)\right\}\right) \leq \frac{\exp (\cosh (s)-1)}{n^{c s}}
$$

Choosing then $s=2 / c$ ensures that $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{S_{n} \geq c \log (n)\right\}\right)=O\left(1 / n^{2}\right)$. Since this is summable over $n$, the Borel-Cantelli lemma allows to conclude.
c) Show that the sequence of random variables $\left(S_{n}, n \geq 1\right)$ converges in distribution.

Hint: You may use here the fact (not seen in class) that if a sequence of characteristic functions converges to a limit which is a continuous function, then the corresponding sequence of random variables converges in distribution (no need to compute the limiting distribution).

Answer: By the hint, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(i t S_{n}\right)\right)=\left(1+\frac{\cos (t)-1}{n}\right)^{n} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \exp (\cos (t)-1)
$$

which is a continuous function. This allows us to conclude that the sequence $\left(S_{n}, n \geq 1\right)$ converges in distribution.
d) Can you tell whether the limiting distribution in part c) is discrete or continuous ? Justify your answer.

Hint: Again, there is no need to compute explicitly the limiting distribution here.
Answer: $S_{n}$ can only take integer values for all values of $n$, so the limiting distribution must be discrete. Another possible argument is that the limiting characteristic function is periodic (and therefore does not go to zero as $t$ goes to $\pm \infty$ ).

Exercise 3. (17 points) Let $N \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $Z=\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{N}\right)$ be a random vector composed of i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables. Let also

$$
\|Z\|=\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{n}^{2}}, \quad Y_{n}=\frac{Z_{n}}{\|Z\|}, \quad \text { for } 1 \leq n \leq N
$$

Hint: For this exercise, you may use the rather remarkable fact that conditioned on $\|Z\|$, the vector $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{N}\right)$ is uniformly distributed on the $N$-dimensional sphere of radius $\|Z\|$. This implies in particular that for every $n$, the vector $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots,-Z_{n}, \ldots, Z_{N}\right)$ is also uniformly distributed on the $N$-dimensional sphere of radius $\|Z\|$.
a) Compute $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n}\right), \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{n}\right)$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n} Y_{m}\right)$ for $1 \leq n, m \leq N$ with $n \neq m$.

Answer: By the hint, $\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n} \mid\|Z\|\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(-Z_{n} \mid\|Z\|\right)=0$. Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{Z_{n}}{\|Z\|}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{Z_{n}}{\|Z\|} \right\rvert\,\|Z\|\right)\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n} \mid\|Z\|\right)}{\|Z\|}\right)=0
$$

and similarly, $\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n} Z_{m} \mid\|Z\|\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(-Z_{n} Z_{m} \mid\|Z\|\right)=0$, so $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n} Y_{m}\right)=0$.

Consequently, $\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{n}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n}^{2}\right)$. Note that $\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ are identically distributed and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{n}^{2}}{\|Z\|^{2}}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{n}^{2}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{n}^{2}}\right)=1
$$

so $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{N}$ for all $n$.

Let now $M_{0}=0, \mathcal{F}_{0}=\{\emptyset, \Omega\}, M_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j}, \mathcal{F}_{n}=\sigma\left(\|Z\|, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$.
b) Compute $\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(M_{n}\right)$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$.

Answer: $\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{m}\right)=0$ and

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(M_{n}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n}^{2}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{m}^{2}\right)+\sum_{m \neq \ell} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{m} Y_{\ell}\right)=\frac{n}{N}
$$

as $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{N}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{m} Y_{\ell}\right)=0$.
c) Show that $\mathcal{F}_{n}=\sigma\left(\|Z\|, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)$ for every $1 \leq n \leq N$.

Answer: $\left(\|Z\|, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{N}\right) \mapsto\left(\|Z\|, \frac{Z_{1}}{\|Z\|}, \ldots, \frac{Z_{N}}{\|Z\|}\right)=\left(\|Z\|, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{N}\right)$ is a 1-to-1 transformation. Thus, the statement holds.
d) Show that $\left(M_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq N\right)$ is martingale with respect to $\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq N\right)$.

Answer: It is easy to see that $M_{n}$ is integrable, as it is the sum of bounded random variables, and $\mathcal{F}_{n}$-measurable. Moreover,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)=M_{n-1}+\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{Z_{n}}{\|Z\|} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)=M_{n-1}+\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)}{\|Z\|}
$$

Again, by the same reasoning as in part a), $\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(-Z_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)=0$, hence $M$ is a martingale.
e) Using a theorem seen in the course (not forgetting to check its assumptions), show that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left|M_{n}-M_{0}\right| \geq n t\right\}\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-n t^{2} / 2\right), \quad \text { for every } 1 \leq n \leq N \text { and } t>0
$$

Answer: We use Azuma's inequality. Since $\left|M_{n}-M_{n-1}\right|^{2}=\frac{Z_{n}^{2}}{\|Z\|^{2}} \leq 1,\left|M_{n}-M_{n-1}\right| \leq 1$ as well. Hence, we directly apply Azuma's inequality to obtain the result.

Exercise 4. (18 points + BONUS 5 points) Let us consider the process ( $M_{n}, n \geq 0$ ) defined recursively as:

$$
M_{0}=M_{1}=1, \quad M_{n+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
M_{n}+M_{n-1} & \text { with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\
M_{n}-M_{n-1} & \text { with probability } \frac{1}{2} & \text { for } n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

a) Show that $\left(M_{n}, n \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale with respect to its natural filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 0\right)$.

Answer: First, observe that $M_{n}$ takes a finite number of values for a given value of $n$, so it trivially holds that $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|M_{n}\right|\right)<+\infty$ for every $n \geq 0$. Besides, the martingale property holds trivially between $n=0$ and $n=1$, and for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{n}+M_{n-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{n}-M_{n-1}\right)=M_{n}
$$

b) Does it also hold that $\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n+1} \mid M_{n}\right)=M_{n}$ for every $n \geq 0$ ? Justify your answer.

Answer: Yes: By the towering property of conditional expectation and part a), we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n+1} \mid M_{n}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \mid M_{n}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n} \mid M_{n}\right)=M_{n}
$$

c) Compute the distribution of $M_{4}$.

Hint: It is highly advised to draw carefully the binary tree leading to the possible values of $M_{4}$.
Answer: $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{M_{4}=+5\right\}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{M_{4}=+3\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{8}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{M_{4}=+1\right\}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{M_{4}=-1\right\}\right)=\frac{3}{8}$.
d) Compute recursively the sequence of numbers $f(n)=\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n}^{2}\right)$, for $n \geq 0$. What is this sequence?

Answer: We find recursively that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(M_{n+1}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{n}+M_{n-1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{n}-M_{n-1}\right)^{2}=M_{n}^{2}+M_{n-1}^{2}
$$

so taking expectations, we obtain $f(n+1)=f(n)+f(n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$; this is the Fibonacci sequence (so the value of $f(n)$ is growing exponentially with $n$ ).
e) Are the conditions of the first martingale convergence theorem satisfied ?

Answer: No: By part d), $\sup _{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}\left(M_{n}^{2}\right)=+\infty$.
f) Does there exist a random variable $M_{\infty}$ such that $M_{n} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} M_{\infty}$ almost surely ? Justify your answer.

Hint: Consider the values that $M_{n}$ can take when $n=2(\bmod 3)$ and $n \neq 2(\bmod 3)$.
Answer: No: If the sequence ( $M_{n}, n \geq 0$ ) were to converge almost surely, then it would hold that for a given value of $\omega, M_{n}(\omega)$ is equal to a fixed value $K$ for large $n$, as $M_{n}(\omega)$ only takes integer values. But $M_{n}(\omega)$ oscillates between odd and even values (more precisely, $M_{n}(\omega)$ is even if and only if $n=2(\bmod 3)$ ).

BONUS g) For a fixed value of $n \geq 3$, what is the value of $\max _{\omega \in \Omega} M_{n}(\omega)$ ? And what is the value of $\min _{\omega \in \Omega} M_{n}(\omega)$ ? Justify.
Answer: $\max _{\omega \in \Omega} M_{n}(\omega)=f(n)$, which is obtained by always following the path in the " + " direction and $\min _{\omega \in \Omega} M_{n}(\omega)=-f(n-3)$, which is obtained by following the path:

$$
\ldots, \quad M_{n-3}=f(n-3), \quad M_{n-2}=f(n-2), \quad M_{n-1}=M_{n-2}-M_{n-3}=f(n-2)-f(n-3)
$$

and finally: $M_{n}=M_{n-1}-M_{n-2}=-f(n-3)$

