## Solutions to Homework 7

CS-526 Learning Theory

## Problem 1: Moments of Gaussian mixture model (GMM)

1) For every $i \in[K], \underline{d}_{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ canonical basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^{K}$ and we define the latent random vector $\underline{h} \in\left\{\underline{d}_{i}: i \in[K]\right\}$ whose distribution is $\forall i \in[K]: \mathbb{P}\left(\underline{h}=\underline{d}_{i}\right)=w_{i}$. Finally, let $\underline{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} h_{i} \underline{a}_{i}+\underline{z}$ where $\underline{z} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{D \times D}\right)$ is independent of $\underline{h}$. The random vector $\underline{x}$ has a probability density function $p(\cdot)$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\underline{x}] & =\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}\left[h_{i}\right] \underline{a}_{i}+\mathbb{E}[\underline{z}]=\sum_{i=1}^{K} w_{i} \underline{a}_{i} ; \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{x x}^{T}\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z z} \underline{z}^{T}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}\left[h_{i}\right] \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\underline{z}]}_{=0} \underline{a}_{i}^{T}+\mathbb{E}\left[h_{i}\right] \underline{a}_{i} \mathbb{E}[\underline{z}]^{T}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{K} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[h_{i} h_{j}\right]}_{=w_{i} \delta_{i j}} \underline{a}_{i} \underline{a}_{j}^{T} \\
& =\sigma^{2} I_{D \times D}+\sum_{i=1}^{K} w_{i} \underline{a}_{i} \underline{a}_{i}^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, to compute the third moment tensor, note that $\mathbb{E}[\underline{z} \otimes \underline{z} \otimes \underline{z}]=0$ and that for every $(i, j) \in[K]^{2}: \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{a}_{j} \otimes \underline{z}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{z} \otimes \underline{a}_{j}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z} \otimes \underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{a}_{j}\right]=0$. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\underline{x} \otimes \underline{x} \otimes \underline{x}]= & \sum_{i, j, k=1}^{K} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[h_{i} h_{j} h_{k}\right]}_{\text {wo }_{i} \delta_{i j} \delta_{i k}} \underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{a}_{j} \otimes \underline{a}_{k} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}\left[h_{i}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{z} \otimes \underline{z}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[h_{i}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z} \otimes \underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{z}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[h_{i}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z} \otimes \underline{z} \otimes \underline{a}_{i}\right] \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_{i} \underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{a}_{i}+\sigma^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_{i}\left(\underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{e}_{j} \otimes \underline{e}_{j}+\underline{e}_{j} \otimes \underline{e}_{j} \otimes \underline{a}_{i}+\underline{e}_{j} \otimes \underline{a}_{i} \otimes \underline{e}_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Let $A=\left[\underline{a}_{1}, \underline{a}_{2}, \ldots, \underline{a}_{K}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times K}$ and $A^{\prime}=\left[\underline{a}_{1}^{\prime}, \underline{a}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \underline{a}_{K}^{\prime}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times K}$. By definition, $\widetilde{R}=\Sigma^{-1} R \Sigma$ where $\Sigma$ is the diagonal matrix such that $\Sigma_{i i}=\sqrt{w_{i}}$ and $A^{\prime}=A \widetilde{R}^{T}$. We can directly apply the formula of question 1) to compute the second moment matrix of the new mixture of Gaussians:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{x x}^{T}\right]=\sigma^{2} I_{D \times D}+A^{\prime} \Sigma^{2} A^{\prime T}=\sigma^{2} I_{D \times D}+A \widetilde{R}^{T} \Sigma^{2} \widetilde{R} A^{T} \\
&=\sigma^{2} I_{D \times D}+A \Sigma R^{T} R \Sigma A^{T}=\sigma^{2} I_{D \times D}+A \Sigma^{2} A^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem 2: Examples of tensors and their rank

1) The matrices corresponding to $B, P, E$ are:

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The frontal slices of $G$ and $W$ are:

$$
G_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], G_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; W_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], W_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

2) $B$ and $E$ are clearly rank-2 matrices, while $P=\left(e_{0}+e_{1}\right) \otimes\left(e_{0}+e_{1}\right)$ is a rank- 1 matrix.

By its definition, $G$ is at most rank 2. Assume it is rank 1: $G=a \otimes b \otimes c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We have $a_{1} b_{1} c_{1}=G_{111}=1$ and $a_{2} b_{1} c_{1}=G_{211}=0$ so we must have $a_{2}=0$. Besides, $a_{2} b_{2} c_{2}=G_{222}=1$ and $a_{1} b_{2} c_{2}=G_{122}=0$ so $a_{1}=0$. Hence $a^{T}=(0,0)$ and $G$ is the all-zero tensor. This is a contradiction and we conclude that $G$ is rank 2 .
By its definition, $W$ is at most rank 3. To prove the rank cannot be smaller than 3 , we will proceed by contradiction:

- Assume $W$ is rank 1: $W=a \otimes b \otimes c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We have $a_{1} b_{1} c_{1}=W_{111}=0$ and $a_{2} b_{1} c_{1}=W_{211}=1$ so $a_{1}=0$. Besides, $a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}=W_{112}=1$ and $a_{2} b_{1} c_{2}=W_{212}=0$ so $a_{2}=0$. Then $a=(0,0)^{T}$ and $W$ is the all-zero tensor, which is a contradiction.
- Assume $W$ is rank 2: $W=a \otimes b \otimes c+d \otimes e \otimes f$. We claim that $a$ and $d$ must be linearly independent. Indeed, suppose they are parallel and take a vector $x$ perpendicular to both $a$ and $d$. Then

$$
W(x, I, I)=\left(x^{T} a\right) b \otimes c+\left(x^{T} d\right) e \otimes f=0
$$

but also

$$
W(x, I, I)=\left(x^{T} e_{0}\right) e_{0} \otimes e_{1}+\left(x^{T} e_{0}\right) e_{1} \otimes e_{0}+\left(x^{T} e_{1}\right) e_{0} \otimes e_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x^{T} e_{1} & x^{T} e_{0} \\
x^{T} e_{0} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

which cannot be zero since $x$ cannot be perpendicular to both $e_{0}$ and $e_{1}$. Now, we take $x$ perpendicular to $d$. We have

$$
W(x, I, I)=\left(x^{T} a\right) b \otimes c
$$

which is rank one. Therefore, we must have $x^{T} e_{0}=0$ which implies that $x$ is parallel to $e_{1}$ and thus $d$ parallel to $e_{0}$. Now, if we take $x$ perpendicular to $a$, the matrix

$$
W(x, I, I)=\left(x^{T} d\right) e \otimes f
$$

is rank one and, once again, we must have $x^{T} e_{0}=0$, which implies $x$ parallel to $e_{1}$ and thus $a$ parallel to $e_{0}$. Hence, we have shown that $a$ and $d$ are linearly independent but also that both are parallel to $e_{0}$. This is a contradiction.
3) We expand the tensor products in the definition of $D_{\epsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\epsilon}= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\left(e_{0}+\epsilon e_{1}\right) \otimes\left(e_{0}+\epsilon e_{1}\right) \otimes\left(e_{0}+\epsilon e_{1}\right)-e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{0}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{0}+\epsilon e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{1}+\epsilon e_{0} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{0}+\epsilon e_{1} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\epsilon^{2} e_{1} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{0}+\epsilon^{2} e_{1} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{1}+\epsilon^{2} e_{0} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{1}+\epsilon^{3} e_{1} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{1}-e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{0}\right] \\
= & e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{1}+e_{0} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{0}+e_{1} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \\
& \quad+\epsilon\left(e_{1} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{0}+e_{1} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{1}+e_{0} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{1}\right)+\epsilon^{2} e_{1} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{1} \\
= & W+\epsilon\left(e_{1} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{0}+e_{1} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{1}+e_{0} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{1}\right)+\epsilon^{2} e_{1} \otimes e_{1} \otimes e_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} D_{\epsilon}=W$.

## Problem 3: Frobenius norm minimizations: matrix versus tensors.

1) There cannot be an analogous general result for tensors. Indeed, the order-3 tensor $W$ of Problem 2 is rank 3 and we showed in 3 ) that $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|W-D_{\epsilon}\right\|_{F}=0$. So there is no minimum attained in the space of rank 2 tensors. In this sense, there is simply no best rank-two approximation of $W$.
2) Let $M$ a matrix of rank $R+1$ with singular values $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \cdots \geq \sigma_{R} \geq \sigma_{R+1}>0$. By the Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem, the minimum of $\|M-\widehat{M}\|_{F}$ over all the matrices $\widehat{M}$ of rank less than, or equal to, $R$ is $\sigma_{R+1}>0$. Therefore, there cannot be a sequence of matrices $M_{n}$ given by a sum of $R$ rank-one matrices such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|M-M_{n}\right\|_{F}=0$.

Now let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ be a matrix of rank $R-1$ with $R \leq \min \{M, N\}$. Let $M=U \Sigma V^{*}$ be the SVD of $M$ where $\sigma_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{R-1}>0$ are its singular values. For all positive integer $n$, we define $\sigma_{R}^{(n)}:=\sigma_{R-1} / n$ as well as the rank- $R$ matrix $M_{n}=U \Sigma_{n} V^{*}$ where $\Sigma_{n}$ is a $M \times N$ diagonal matrix whose nonzero diagonal entries are $\sigma_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{R-1} \geq \sigma_{R}^{(n)}$. Clearly $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|M-M_{n}\right\|_{F}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\sigma_{R-1}}{n}=0$. A similar procedure can be applied if $M$ is a tensor.

## Problem 4: Tensors

1. (a) $M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}\right)$.
(b) Using that $R R^{T}=I_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
M=\binom{1}{2} \otimes\binom{1}{1}+\binom{1}{0} \otimes\binom{0}{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right) R \cdot R^{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b}+\vec{c} \otimes \vec{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\vec{a} & \vec{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right) R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta+\sin \theta & \cos \theta-\sin \theta \\
2 \cos \theta & -2 \sin \theta
\end{array}\right)} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\vec{b} & \vec{d}
\end{array}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\cos \theta+\sin \theta & \cos \theta-\sin \theta
\end{array}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. We have $T=\vec{a}_{1} \otimes \vec{b}_{1} \otimes \vec{c}_{1}+\vec{a}_{2} \otimes \vec{b}_{2} \otimes \vec{c}_{2}+\vec{a}_{3} \otimes \vec{b}_{3} \otimes \vec{c}_{3}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\vec{a}_{1} & \vec{a}_{2} & \vec{a}_{3}
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 5
\end{array}\right] \text { has pairwise independent columns; } \\
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\vec{b}_{1} & \vec{b}_{2} & \vec{b}_{3}
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { has linearly independent columns; } \\
\text { and }\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\vec{c}_{1} & \vec{c}_{2} & \vec{c}_{3}
\end{array}\right] & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { has linearly independent columns. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By Jennrich's theorem the decomposition is therefore unique and the rank of $T$ is 3 .
3. (a) We have $T=\vec{a}_{1} \otimes \vec{b}_{1} \otimes \vec{c}_{1}+\vec{a}_{2} \otimes \vec{b}_{2} \otimes \vec{c}_{2}$ where $\vec{c}_{1}=\vec{c}_{2}=\vec{c}$. We cannot invoke Jennrich's theorem because the vectors $\vec{c}_{1}, \vec{c}_{2}$ are not pairwise independent.
(b) The tensor rank is obviously less than or equal to 2 . We will prove by contradiction that it cannot be equal to 1 .
Assume the rank is one. Then there exist vectors $\vec{e}, \vec{f}, \vec{g}$ such that $T=\vec{e} \otimes \vec{f} \otimes \vec{g}$. Pick any vector $\vec{x}$ that is not orthogonal to $\vec{c}$. We have:

$$
(\vec{e} \otimes \vec{f})\left(\vec{g}^{T} \vec{x}\right)=\left(\vec{a}_{1} \otimes \vec{b}_{1}+\vec{a}_{2} \otimes \vec{b}_{2}\right)\left(\vec{c}^{T} \vec{x}\right)
$$

The matrix $(\vec{e} \otimes \vec{f})\left(\vec{g}^{T} \vec{x}\right)$ has rank 0 or 1 while the matrix $\left(\vec{a}_{1} \otimes \vec{b}_{1}+\vec{a}_{2} \otimes \vec{b}_{2}\right)\left(\vec{c}^{T} \vec{x}\right)$ has rank 2 because $\vec{a}_{1} \otimes \vec{b}_{1}+\vec{a}_{2} \otimes \vec{b}_{2}$ has rank 2 and $\vec{c}^{T} \vec{x} \neq 0$. This is a contradiction.

