Advanced Probability and Applications

## Solutions to Homework 1

**Exercise 1.** a) We can be more general and show that a countable union of countable sets is countable. That is, let  $E_n, n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ , be a sequence of countable sets and put

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n.$$

Every set  $E_n$  can be arranged in a sequence  $\{x_{n,k}\}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  Moreover, we can construct an infinite array

The array contains elements of A which can be numbered as  $x_{11}$ ;  $x_{21}$ ,  $x_{31}$ ,  $x_{22}$ ,  $x_{13}$ ; ..., and so on. We can likewise show that a union of two countable sets is countable.

Observe that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a special case of a countable union of countable sets since for every positive  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ we can write  $r = \frac{m}{n}$  for some positive integers m and n. Thus, we can construct a similar array with the m indexing the rows, and n indexing the columns (and skipping over any duplicates). By this argument, the positive rational numbers are at most countable. They are not finite since they include positive integers as a subset. Likewise, non-positive rational numbers are also countable. Finally,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable since it is just a union of two countable sets.

b) Let  $E \in A$  and suppose that E is infinite. Since A is countable, we can arrange its elements in a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of distinct elements. Construct a new sequence  $\{n_k\}$  by letting  $n_1$  be the smallest integer such that  $x_{n_1} \in E$ ,  $n_2$  the next smallest, and so on. Putting  $f(k) = x_{n_k}, k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , we obtain a 1-1 correspondence between E an positive integers.

c) The elements of A are sequences like  $1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, \ldots$  Suppose A is countable, and let  $s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  be the sequence of all elements of A. We construct a new sequence s as follows. If the *n*th digit of  $s_n$  is 1, we let the *n*th digits of s be 0, and vice versa. Thus s is not equal to any element in the sequence, and  $s \notin A$ . This is a contradiction and so A is not countable.

If we represent real numbers on the interval [0, 1] with their binary expansion, we get exactly the set A. Thus, the interval [0, 1] is not countable. The interval [0, 1] is an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and therefore (by part b),  $\mathbb{R}$  is not countable.

d) Assume that the irrational numbers are countable. Then,  $\mathbb{R}$  can be represented as a union of two countable sets and is countable (by part a). We have already shown that  $\mathbb{R}$  is not countable in part c) so this is a contradiction. Therefore, irrational numbers are not countable.

e) One way to solve this problem is to find a set that is larger than  $\mathbb{Q}$  but smaller than  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is a famous problem known as *the continuum hypothesis* and it is outside of the scope of this class! However, it is easy to construct an infinite set that is strictly larger that  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let A be the power set of  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is, A is the set of all subsets of R. The fact that A and  $\mathbb{R}$  have different cardinal numbers can be shown by the same diagonal process argument that we used in part c) of exercise 1.1.

**Exercise 2.** a)  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{5\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,5\}, \{4,6\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,3,5\}, \{2,4,6\}, \{4,5,6\}, \{1,2,3,5\}, \{1,3,4,6\}, \{2,4,5,6\}, \{1,2,3,4,6\}, \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}, \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}\}$  (16 = 2<sup>4</sup> elements)

b) atoms of  $\mathcal{F}: \{1,3\}, \{2\}, \{4,6\}, \{5\}$ . Notice that one also has  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{1,3\}, \{2\}, \{4,6\}, \{5\})$ , as already mentioned in the problem set.

c) Nearly by definition,  $\sigma(X_1, X_2) = \sigma(\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 3, 5\}) = \mathcal{F}$ . Besides, the random variable Y satisfies: Y(1) = Y(3) = 2, Y(2) = Y(5) = 1 and Y(4) = Y(6) = 0. We deduce from there that the atoms of  $\sigma(Y)$  are  $\{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}$  and  $\{4, 6\}$ , and therefore that Y contains less information than  $X_1, X_2$ , i.e., that  $\sigma(Y) \subset \sigma(X_1, X_2)$  and  $\sigma(Y) \neq \sigma(X_1, X_2)$ .

Exercise 3. Here is a systematic but not necessarily optimal procedure, described in words.

Consider first the list of subsets  $\mathcal{L} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_m, A_1^c, \ldots, A_m^c\}$ . From there, generate the list  $\mathcal{L}' = \{B_1, \ldots, B_p\}$  made of all possible intersections of elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  (which are *subsets* of  $\Omega$ ). Of course, this new list is not necessarily made of atoms of  $\mathcal{F}$  only. We need to browse the collection and at each item, call it G, we discard it if it is empty or if there exists another element F in the collection such that  $F \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subset G$  and  $F \neq G$ . The remaining elements are the atoms of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Exercise 4.** a) The atoms of  $\mathcal{F}$  are the singletons  $\{x\}$ , with  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

b) The answer is no. One can check indeed that the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the sets  $\{x\}, x \in [0, 1]$  is the list of all countable subsets of [0, 1], as well as all the complements of countable subsets of [0, 1], which is of course not equal to the list of all Borel subsets of [0, 1]. In particular, the open intervals are not in the list.

c)  $\sigma(\{x\}, x \in [0, 1])$  comprises all countable unions of singletons in [0, 1], as well as all the complements of these sets. One can check that indeed, such a collection of sets is a  $\sigma$ -field, which is moreover *much* smaller than  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ .

**Exercise 5.** Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary set and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ . In this problem we will show that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is infinite, it must be uncountable. We will proceed with proof by contradiction and assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is countable.

a) For every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , define  $B_{\omega} = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}: \omega \in A} A$ . Is  $B_{\omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ ? Why or why not?

**Answer:** We have assumed that  $\mathcal{F}$  is countable. Thus, the collection of all the sets containing  $\omega$  i.e.,  $S_{\omega} = \{A : \omega \in A\}$  can be at most countable, as  $S_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Further, note that the countable intersection of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  is also an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $B_{\omega} := \cap S_{\omega}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**b)** Let  $C = \{B_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be a collection of all such unique  $B_{\omega}$ . Argue that C partitions  $\Omega$  and that it is at most finite, or countable.

**Answer:** To show that  $B_{\omega}$  partitions  $\mathcal{F}$  we need to show that: 1) $\forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ , we have  $B_{\omega_1} \cap B_{\omega_2} = \emptyset$  or  $B_{\omega_1} = B_{\omega_2}$ , 2) that  $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} B_{\omega} = \Omega$ .

1) Suppose there exists  $\omega_2 \in B_{\omega_1}$  such that  $B_{\omega_1} \neq B_{\omega_2}$ . Then,  $B_{\omega_1} \cap B_{\omega_2}$  is a strict subset of  $B_{\omega_2}$  or

it is exactly  $B_{\omega_2}$ . In the first case, it contradicts the fact that  $B_{\omega_2}$  is the smallest set in  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\omega_2$ . In the second case, it means that  $B_{\omega_2}$  is a proper subset of  $B_{\omega_1}$  which again contradicts the fact that  $B_{\omega_1}$  is the smallest set in  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\omega_1$ . Indeed, either  $\omega_1 \in B_{\omega_2}$  or  $\omega_1 \in B_{\omega_1} \cap B_{\omega_2}^c$ .

2) Since every  $\omega \in \Omega$  is in some  $B_{\omega}, \cup_{\omega \in \Omega} B_{\omega} = \Omega$ .

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is countable, and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$  it is either countable or finite.

c) Argue that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}$ . That is, the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  is exactly  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## Answer:

For any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  we can show that  $A = \bigcup_{\omega \in A} B_{\omega}$ . Indeed,  $A \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in A} B_{\omega}$  is trivial. We can show that  $\bigcup_{\omega \in A} B_{\omega} \subset A$  by a similar argument as in part b). Assume that there exists  $\omega_1 \in \bigcup_{\omega \in A} B_{\omega}$ such that  $\omega_1 \notin A$ . But then, either  $B_{\omega_1} \cap A = \emptyset$  or  $B_{\omega_1} \cap A$  is a proper subset of  $B_{\omega_1}$  which again contradicts the minimality of  $B_{\omega_1}$  for some  $\omega_2 \in B_{\omega_1} \cap A$ .

d) Conclude from parts (a) - (c) that there is a contradiction and it is not possible for  $\mathcal{F}$  to be countable.

**Answer:** Observe that we have shown that C is exactly the set of atoms that generates  $\mathcal{F}$  and that it is either finite or countable. By part b), a union of any subcollection of C produces a distinct subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus, if C is finite, it's power set is also finite. If C is countable, its power set is uncountable (See PSET 1, exercise 1). Either way, this contradicts the original assumption.

**Exercise 6.** a) Consider e.g.  $X_1$  taking values in  $\{0, 1\}$  and  $X_2$  taking values in  $\{0, 2\}$ . Then it is possible to deduce the values of both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  from the sole value of Y, so  $\sigma(Y) = \sigma(X_1, X_2)$  (as an exercise, write this down formally).

b) Consider e.g.  $X_1$  taking values in  $\{3, 5\}$  and  $X_2$  taking values in  $\{7, 9\}$ . When  $Y(\omega) = 12$ , it is impossible to tell whether  $X_1(\omega) = 3$ ,  $X_2(\omega) = 9$  or  $X_1(\omega) = 5$ ,  $X_2(\omega) = 7$ . The random variable Ycarries then less information than the two random variables  $X_1, X_2$  together (again, as an exercise, write this down formally).

c) The answer is no, i.e.,  $\sigma(Y) \neq \sigma(X_1, X_2)$ , as when  $Y(\omega) = a + b$ , we will not be able to tell whether  $\omega = \omega_1$  or  $\omega = \omega_2$ .