Exercise 1 The Young double slit experiment (1803)

1) The scheme of the experiment is as follows:



If D >> d, we use the approximation

$$|\psi(\vec{r}_P)|^2 \approx \frac{A^2}{D^2} \left| e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda} |\vec{r}_B - \vec{r}_P|} + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda} |\vec{r}_C - \vec{r}_P|} \right|^2$$

By factoring out the factor whose modulus is 1, we then have

$$|\psi(\vec{r}_P)|^2 \approx \frac{A^2}{D^2} \left| 1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda} (|\vec{r}_C - \vec{r}_P| - |\vec{r}_B - \vec{r}_P|)} \right|^2$$

As shown in the above figure, the difference of lengths between the two beams $|\vec{r}_C - \vec{r}_P| - |\vec{r}_B - \vec{r}_P|$ is $d \sin \theta$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} |\psi(\vec{r_P})|^2 &\approx \frac{A^2}{D^2} \left| 1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i d \sin \theta}{\lambda}} \right|^2 = \frac{A^2}{D^2} \left[\left(1 + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi d \sin \theta}{\lambda}\right) \right)^2 + \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi d \sin \theta}{\lambda}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{A^2}{D^2} \left[2 + 2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi d \sin \theta}{\lambda}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{4A^2}{D^2} \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi d}{\lambda}\sin\theta\right), \end{split}$$

where the last line uses $\cos 2\alpha = 2\cos^2 \alpha - 1$.

Note: We used an approximation which was deduced with a geometric argument, but the same results can also be obtained algebraically. For instance, let $M = \frac{1}{2}(B+C)$ be the middle of the segment [BC] and ϕ the direct angle between \vec{MO} and \vec{MP} .

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{r}_P - \vec{r}_B| &= \left\| \vec{BP} \right\| = \left\| \vec{BM} + \vec{MP} \right\| \\ &= \sqrt{BM^2 + MP^2 - 2\vec{MP} \cdot \vec{MB}} \\ &= MP\sqrt{1 - 2\frac{\vec{MP} \cdot \vec{MB}}{MP^2} + \left(\frac{MB}{MP}\right)^2} \\ &\simeq MP\left(1 - \frac{\vec{MP} \cdot \vec{MB}}{MP^2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{MB}{MP}\right)^2 + o\left(\frac{d^2}{D^2}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\frac{\vec{AP} \cdot \vec{AB}}{AP^2} \sim \frac{d\rho}{D^2}$ and $\frac{\vec{AP} \cdot \vec{AB}}{AP^2} \sim \left(\frac{d}{D}\right)^2$. Similarly:

$$CP \simeq MP \left(1 - \frac{\vec{MP} \cdot \vec{MC}}{MP^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{MC}{MP} \right)^2 + o \left(\frac{d^2}{D^2} \right) \right)$$
(1)

Therefore, using MB = MC we have:

$$CP - BP \simeq \frac{\vec{MP}}{MP} \cdot \left(\vec{MB} - \vec{MC}\right) = \frac{\vec{MP}}{MP} \cdot \vec{CB} = d\sin(\phi)$$
 (2)

and notice that for large D compared to d and ρ , the geometric angle θ can be assimilated with ϕ with $\phi \simeq \theta$.

- 2) The intensity attains its minima at 0 when $\sin \theta = \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\lambda}{d}$. The intensity attains its maxima when the cosine function equals ± 1 , whereby $\sin \theta = m\frac{\lambda}{d}$ for some integer m.
- 3) For D >> d, we use the approximation $\tan \theta \approx \theta \approx \sin \theta$ so that the intensity is given by

$$|\psi(\vec{r}_P)|^2 \approx \frac{4A^2}{D^2}\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi d\rho}{D\lambda}\right).$$

As the location of maxima satisfies $\frac{d\rho_m}{D\lambda} = m \in \mathbb{N}$, the distance between two successive minima is

$$\rho_{m+1} - \rho_m = \lambda \frac{D}{d}$$

With d = 0.25 mm, D = 10 m and $\lambda = 652$ nm, the $\rho_{m+1} - \rho_m$ is 26.1 mm.

Exercise 2 Modern Young's experiment

- 1) For a molecule of $C_{60} \ p = mv$, where $m = \frac{M_{\text{mole}}}{N_A} \times 60$. The De Broglie wavelength is $\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{hN_A}{60M_{\text{mole}}v}$. For an average velocity of 220m/s, the wavelength is 2.518×10^{-12} m.
- 2) Take the results known for waves. We should observe interference fringes with a distance $\rho_{m+1} \rho_m = \lambda \frac{D}{d} = 31.48 \ \mu \text{m}.$
- 3) The wavelength is 5.30×10^{-35} m, which is not a measurable distance.

Exercise 3 Photoelectric effect

According to Einstein's formula, the kinetic energy of the ejected electrons is

$$\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = h\nu - W_0$$

where $W_0 = h\nu_0 = \frac{hc}{\lambda_0}$ is the minimal energy for extraction. The equation can be rewritten as $\frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + \frac{hc}{\lambda_0}$. Therefore, the necessary wavelength is

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{1}{2}mv^2 + \frac{hc}{\lambda_0}\right)^{-1}hc.$$

Numerics can be calculated using $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = 1.5$ eV and one finds $\lambda = 180$ nm.